International Research Journal of Pure Algebra-8(12), 2018, 61-64 Available online through www.rjpa.info ISSN 2248-9037

# SOME THEOREMS ON CHARACTERISTICS OF UP ALGEBRA

M. SARANYA<sup>1</sup>, M. USHARANI<sup>2</sup>, S. DICKSON\*<sup>3</sup> AND J. RAVI<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>M.Phil Scholars, <sup>3,4</sup>Assistant Professors, Department of Mathematics, Vivekanandha College for Women, Tiruchengode, Tamilnadu, India.

(Received On: 11-11-18; Revised & Accepted On: 29-11-18)

# ABSTRACT

In this paper, anti fuzzy UP ideals and anti fuzzy UP sub algebras on UP-Algebra are studied. The notions of cartesian product and dot product of fuzzy sets are used to derive some properties of UP-Algebra.

Key words: UP-Algebra, anti fuzzy UP ideals, anti fuzzy UP sub algebras.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

UP Algebra is introduced by IAMPAN.A [4] where UP denotes University of Phayao.Also he derived the concept of UP-ideals, UP-subalgebras, congruences and UP homomorphisms in UP-algebras, and investigated some related properties of them. He also described connections between UPideals, UP-subalgebras, congruences and UP-homomorphisms. In this paper, anti fuzzy UP ideals and anti fuzzy UP sub algebras are studied and proved some theorems.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1:** An algebra  $A = (A, \cdot, 0)$  of type (2,0) is called a UP-algebra if it satisfies the following axioms : for any  $x, y, z \in A$ ,

(UP-1)  $(y \cdot z) \cdot ((x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot z)) = 0$ , (UP-2)  $0 \cdot x = x$ , (UP-3)  $x \cdot 0 = 0$ , and (UP-4)  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x = 0$  implies x = y.

Definition 2.2: A non empty subset B of A is called a UP-ideal of A if it satisfies the following properties:

- (1) The constant 0 of A is in B, and
- (2) For any  $x, y, z \in A$ ,  $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in B$  and  $y \in B$  imply  $x \cdot z \in B$ . Clearly, A and 0 are up-ideal of A.

**Definition 2.3:** A subset S of A is called a UP-sub algebra of A if the constant 0 of A is in S, and  $(S, \cdot, 0)$  itself forms a UP-algebra. Clearly, A and  $\{0\}$  are UP-subalgebra of A.

**Definition 2.4:** A fuzzy set f in A is called an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A if it satisfies the following properties: for any  $x, y, z \in A$ ,

(1)  $f(0) \le f(x)$ , and (2)  $f(x) = \int f(x) dx$ 

(2)  $f(x \cdot z) \le \max\{f(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), f(y)\}.$ 

**Definition 2.5:** A fuzzy set f in A is called an anti-fuzzy UP-sub algebra of A if for any  $x, y \in A$ ,  $f(x \cdot y) \le \max\{f(x), f(y)\}$ .

**Definition 2.6:** If *f* is a fuzzy set in a non empty set X, the strongest fuzzy relation on X is  $\mu_f: X \times X \to [0,1]$  defined by  $\mu_{f(x,y)} = \max\{f(x), g(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . For  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $f(x), f(y) \in [0,1]$ . Thus  $\mu_{f(x,y)} = \max\{f(x), f(y)\} \in [0,1]$ . Hence,  $\mu_f$  is a fuzzy relation on X. We note that if *f* is a fuzzy set in a non empty set X, then  $f \times f = \mu_f$ .

### Corresponding Author: S. Dickson\*3

## **3. CHARACTERISTICS OF UP ALGEBRA**

**Theorem 3.1:** If f is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A if and only if  $\mu_f$  is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A×A.

**Proof:** Assume that f is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A.

```
Let x, y \in A \times A.
                         (f \times f)(0,0) = \max\{f(0), f(0)\}
                                      =\mu_{f}(0,0)
                                      \leq \mu_f(x, y)
                                      = \max{f(x), f(y)}
                                      = (f\timesf) (x, y).
```

Now, let  $(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $(y_1, y_2)$ ,  $(z_1, z_2) \in A \times A$ .

Then.

Then,

```
(f \times f)((x_1, x_2) \bullet (z_1, z_2)) = (f \times f)(x_1 \cdot z_1, x_2 \cdot z_2)
                                     = \max\{f(x_1 \cdot z_1), f(x_2 \cdot z_2)\}
                                     \leq \max\{\max\{f(x_1, (y_1, z_1)), f(y_1)\}, \max\{f(x_2, (y_2, z_2)), f(y_2)\}\}
                                     = \max\{\max\{f(x_1 \cdot (y_1 \cdot z_1)), f(x_2 \ast (y_2 \ast z_2))\}, \max\{f(y_1), f(y_2)\}\}
                                     = \max\{(f \times f)(x_1 \cdot (y_1 \cdot z_1), x_2 \ast (y_2 \ast z_2)), (f \times f)(y_1, y_2)\}
                                     = \max\{(f \times f)((x_1, x_2) \bullet ((y_1, y_2) \bullet (z_1, z_2))), (f \times f)(y_1, y_2)\}.
```

We have  $\mu_f = f \times f$  is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A × A.

Hence,  $f \times f$  is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of  $A \times A$ .

Conversely,

Assume that  $\mu_f$  is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A × A.

Since  $f \times f = \mu_f$ ,

Suppose that f is not an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A.

Assume that  $f(0_A) \leq f(x)$ , for all  $x \in A$ . Then from (2) either  $f(0_A) \leq f(y)$ , for all  $y \in A$  or  $f(0_A) \leq f(x)$ , for all  $x \in A$ . If  $f(0_A) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ , then for all  $x \in A$ ,  $(f \times f)(x, 0_A) = \max\{f(x), f(0_A)\} = f(x)$ .

```
Since f \times f is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A \times A, we have for any x, y, z \in A,
                             \mathbf{f}(x \cdot z) = (\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{f}) \ (x \cdot z, \mathbf{0}_A)
                                          = (f \times f) (x \cdot z, 0<sub>A</sub> *0<sub>A</sub>)
                                          = (f × f) ((x, 0<sub>A</sub>) \blacklozenge (z, 0<sub>A</sub>))
                                          \leq \max\{(f \times f) ((x, 0_B) \diamond [(x, 0_A) \diamond (z, 0_A)]), (f \times f) (y, 0_A)\}
                                          = \max\{(f \times f) (x \cdot (y \cdot z), 0_A * (0_A * 0_A), (f \times f) (y, 0_A)\}\
                                          = \max\{(\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{f}) \ (x \cdot (y \cdot z), \ (\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{f}) \ (y, \ \mathbf{0}_A\}\}
                                          = \max\{\max\{f(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), f(0_A)\}, \max\{f(y), f(0_A)\}\}\
                                          = \max\{f(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), f(y)\}.
```

Hence, f is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A.

Which is a contradiction.

Assume that  $f(0_A) \le f(y)$ , for all  $y \in A$ .

Then, either  $f(0_A) \le f(x)$ , for all  $x \in A$  or  $f(0_A) \le f(y)$ , for all  $y \in A$ . Then for all  $y \in A$ ,

$$(f \times f) (0_A, y) = \max{f(0_A), f(y)}$$
  
= f(y).

#### M. Saranya<sup>1</sup>, M. Usharani<sup>2</sup>, S. Dickson<sup>\*3</sup> and J. Ravi<sup>4</sup> / Some Theorems on Characteristics of UP algebra / IRJPA- 8(12), Dec.-2018.

Since  $f \times f$  is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of  $A \times A$ . We have for any  $x, y, z \in A$ ,  $\begin{aligned} f(x * z) &= (f \times f) (0_A, x * z) \\ &= (f \times f) ((0_A, x) \bullet (0_A, z)) \\ &\leq \max\{f \times f) ((0_A, x) \bullet ([0_A, y) \bullet (0_A, z)]), (f \times f) (0_A, y)\} \\ &= \max\{(f \times f) (0_A \cdot (0_A \cdot 0_A)), (x * (y * z)), (f \times f) (0_A, y)\} \\ &= \max\{(f \times f) (0_A, x * (y * z)), (f \times f) (0_A, y)\} \\ &= \max\{(f \times f) (0_A, x * (y * z)), (f \times f) (0_A, y)\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{f(0_A), f(x * (y * z))\}, \max\{f(x * (y * z)), f(y)\} \end{aligned}$ Hence, f is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A.

Which is a contradiction.

Since  $f \times f$  is not an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of  $A \times A$   $f(0_A) \le f(x)$ , for all  $x \in A$  and  $f(0_A \le f(y))$ , for all  $y \in A$ , there exist  $x, y, z \in A, x', y', z' \in A$  such that

 $f(x \cdot z) > \max\{f(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), f(y)\} \text{ and } f(x^* * z^*) > \max\{f(x^* * (y^* * z^*)), f(y)\}.$  $\max\{f(x \cdot z), f(x^* * z^*)\} > \max\{\max\{f(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), f(y)\}, \max\{f(x^* * z^*), f(y^*)\}\}.$ 

```
Since f \times f is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A \times A, we have

\{f(x \cdot z), f(x' * z')\} = (f \times f) (x \cdot z, x' * z')
```

```
 \{f(x \cdot z), f(x \cdot z \cdot z)\} = (f(x \cdot z), (x \cdot z \cdot z \cdot z)) 
 = f(x \cdot z) ((x, x \cdot z \cdot z \cdot z)) 
 \le \max\{(f \times f) ((x, x \cdot z \cdot z \cdot z)), (f \times f) (y, y \cdot z)\} 
 = \max\{(f \times f) (x \cdot (y \cdot z)), f(x \cdot (y \cdot z \cdot z)), (f \times f) (y, y \cdot z)) 
 = \max\{\max\{f(x \cdot z), f(x \cdot x \cdot z \cdot z)\} \le \max\{\max\{f(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), f(y)\}, \max\{f(x \cdot (y \cdot x \cdot z))\}\} 
 \max\{f(x \cdot z), f(x \cdot x \cdot z \cdot z)\} \le \max\{\max\{f(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), f(y)\}, \max\{f(x \cdot (y \cdot x \cdot z))\}\}
```

Which is a contradiction.

Similarly, by (1),

If  $f(0_A) \le f(y)$ , for all  $y \in A$ , we have a contradiction.

Hence, either f is an anti-fuzzy UP-ideal of A.

**Theorem 3.2:** If f is an anti-fuzzy UP-sub algebra of A if and only if  $\mu_f$  is an anti-fuzzy UP-sub algebra of A × A.

Proof: Assume that f is an anti-fuzzy UP-subalgebra of A.

```
Let (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in A \times A.

Then,

(f \times f) ((x_1, x_2) \blacklozenge (y_1, y_2)) = (f \times f) (x_1 \cdot y_1, x_2 * y_2)

= \max\{f(x_1 \cdot y_1), f(x_2 * y_2)\}

\leq \max\{\max\{(f(x_1), f(y_1), \max\{(f(x_2), f(y_2)\}\} \max\{\max\{(f(x_1), f(y_2), \max\{(f(y_1), f(y_2)\}\}\}

= \max\{(f \times f) (x_1, x_2), (f \times f) (y_1, y_2)\}.

Hence, \mu_f = f \times f is an anti-fuzzy UP-sub algebra of A \times A.
```

Conversely,

Assume that  $\mu_f$  is an anti-fuzzy UP-sub algebra of A × A.

Since  $f \times f = \mu_{f}$ , Suppose that f is not an anti-fuzzy UP-sub algebra of A.

Then there exist  $x, y \in A$  and  $a, b \in A$  such that  $f(x \cdot y) > \max{f(x), f(y)}$  and  $f(a*b) > \max{f(a), f(b)}$ 

Thus  $\max\{f(x \cdot y), f(a \cdot b)\} > \max\{\max\{f(x), f(y)\}, \max\{f(a), g(b)\}\}.$ 

```
Since, f×f is an anti- fuzzy UP-sub algebra of A×A, we have

\max\{f(x \cdot y), f(a*b)\} = (f \times f) ((x, a) \blacklozenge (y, b))
\leq \max\{(f \times f) ((x, a), (f \times f) (y, b)\}
= \max\{\max\{f(x), f(a)\}, \max\{f(y), f(b)\}\}
= \max\{\max\{f(x), f(y)\}, \max\{f(a), f(b)\}\}.
Thus, max{f(x · y), f(a*b)} max{max{f(x), f(y)}, max{f(a), f(b)}}

Which is a contradiction.
```

Hence, either f is an anti-fuzzy UP-sub algebra of A.

#### © 2018, RJPA. All Rights Reserved

### M. Saranya<sup>1</sup>, M. Usharani<sup>2</sup>, S. Dickson<sup>\*3</sup> and J. Ravi<sup>4</sup> / Some Theorems on Characteristics of UP algebra / IRJPA- 8(12), Dec.-2018.

### 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, anti fuzzy UP ideals and anti fuzzy UP sub algebras are studied. The characteristics of UP-Algebra and therelation between Anti fuzzy UP ideal and anti fuzzy UP sub algebra are explained and related theorems proved. In future more theorems can be derived in this topic.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Akram, M., "t-fuzzy ideals in BCI-algebras", internat.
- 2. Math.Sci., 18(2005), 1889-1907.
- 3. Yamini, C., Kailasavalli, S., "Fuzzy B-ideals on B-algebras",
- 4. Int.J.Math.Arch., 5(2) (2014), 227-223.
- 5. Zadeh, L.A., "Fuzzy sets", Int.Cout., 8 (1965), 338-353.
- 6. A.Iampan., "A New Branch of the Logical Algebra: UP-Algebras"
- 7. Journal of Algebra and related topics. 5(1), (2017), 35-54.

## Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2018, RJPA. All Rights Reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Research Journal of Pure Algebra (IRJPA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]