# International Research Journal of Pure Algebra-7(10), 2017, 837-840 RJPA Available online through www.rjpa.info ISSN 2248-9037

## **SEMIPRIME (-1, 1) RINGS**

### K. SUVARNA<sup>1</sup>, M. MANJULA DEVI\*<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapuramu-515003 (A.P.), India.

(Received On: 24-09-17; Revised & Accepted On: 18-10-17)

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we show that in a (-1,1) ring R, every associator commutes with every element of R, that is ((R,R,R),R)=0and (R, R, R, R, R)=0. Using these we prove that a 2- and 3- divisible semiprime (-1, 1) ring R is associative.

Mathematical subject classification: Primary 17A30.

Keywords: Semiprime ring, divisible ring, (-1, 1) ring.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Thedy [1] studied nonassociative rings satisfying the identity ((a, b, c), d) = 0. He proved that a simple nonassociative ring with ((a, b, c,), d) = 0 is either associative or commutative. He pointed out that it cannot be extended to prime rings.

In this paper, we show that in a (-1,1) ring R, every associator commutes with every element of R, that is ((R, R, R), R) = 0 and (R, R, (R, R, R)) = 0. Using these we prove that a 2- and 3- divisible semiprime (-1, 1) ring R is associative. At the end of this section we give an example of a (-1, 1) ring which is not associative.

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

A nonassociative ring is said to be a (-1, 1) ring if it satisfies the following identities:

	A(x, y, z) = (x, y, z) + (y, z, x) + (z, x, y) = 0	(1)
and	B(x, y, z) = (x, y, z) + (x, z, y) = 0	(2)

and B(x, y, z) = (x, y, z) + (x, z, y) = 0

We know that a ring R is semi prime if for any ideal A of R,  $A^2 = 0$  implies A = 0.

A ring R is said to be n - divisible if nx=0 implies x=0 for all x in R and n a natural number.

Throughout this section R denotes a 2- and 3- divisible (-1, 1) ring.

As a consequence of (2), we have the right alternative law (y, x, x) = 0. (3)

In any ring we have the following identities:

	c(w, x, y, z) = (wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) - w(x, y, z) - (w, x, y)z = 0.	(4)
and	(xy, z) - x(y, z) - (x, z)y - (x, y, z) + (x, z, y) - (z, x, y) = 0.	(5)

By forming C(x, y, y, z) - C(x, z, y, y) + C(x, y, z, y) = 0,

we obtain 2(x, y, yz) = 2(x, y, z)y. This implies that D(x, y, z) = (x, y, yz) - (x, y, z)y = 0.

In C(x, z, y, y) = 0 we make use of (6),

So that  $E(x, y, z) = (x, y^2, z) - (x, y, yz + zy) = 0$ .

Corresponding Author: M. Manjula Devi\*2, <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapuramu-515003 (A.P.), India.

(6)

(7)

By linearizing (6) (replace y with $w + y$ ), we obtain the identity	
F(x, w, y, z) = (x, w, yz) + (x, y, wz) - (x, w, z)y - (x, y, z)w = 0.	(8)

From C(w, x, y, z) – F (w, z, x, y) = 0, it follows that G(w, x, y, z) = (wx, y, z) + (w, x, (y, z)) – w(x, y, z) – (w, y, z)x = 0.

- In a (-1, 1) ring (5) becomes H(x, y, z) = (xy, z) - x(y, z) - (x, z)y - 2(x, y, z) - (z, x, y) = 0,
- Because of (2). The combination of (1) and (4) gives J(w, x, y, z) = (w, (x, y, z)) - (x, (y, z, w)) + (y, (z, w, x)) - (z, (w, x, y)) = 0.
- From J(x, x, x, y) + (x, B(x, y, x)) = 0, it follows that 2(x, (x, x, y)) = 0.
- From this and the fact that (x, y, x) = -(x, x, y) we obtain (x, (x, x, y)) = 0 and (x, (x, y, x)) = 0.
- Now J(y, x, y, x) = 0 gives 2(y, (x, y, x)) 2(x, (y, x, y)) = 0.
- Thus (y, (x, y, x)) (x, (y, x, y)) = 0.
- From B(x, x, y) = 0 and B(y, y, x) = 0, we have (y, (x, x, y)) (x, (y, y, x)) = 0.
- Combining this with J(y, x, x, y) = 0 gives 2(y, (x, x, y)) = 0 and therefore (y, (x, x, y)) = 0. (10)
- Using the right alternative property of R, identity (10) can be written (y, (x, y, x)) = 0.
- Now we define U to be the set of all elements u of R which commute with all the elements of R.
- That is,  $U = \{u \in R/(u, R) = 0\}.$

Then C(x, x, u) = 0 gives -2(x, x, u) = 0.

Hence (x, x, u) = 0 and (x, u, x) = 0 by (2).

Repla	acing x by x + y in these last two identities give	
_	(x, y, u) = -(y, x, u)	(12)
and	$(x, u, y) = -(y, u, x)$ , for $u \in U$ .	(13)

In addition to these identities, we present some more identities involving the element  $u \in U$ .

	O = Q(u, x, y) = (u, x, y) - 2(y, x, u)	(14)
and	O = R (x, y, u) = 3(x, y, u) - (x, y)u + (x, yu).	(15)

We know the identity (y, (x, y, x)) = 0, for every x, y, in R holds in R. Using this we prove the following lemma.

#### **3. MAIN RESULTS**

**Lemma 1:** If R is a 2- and 3- divisible (-1, 1) ring, then ((R, R, R), R) = 0.

<b>Proof:</b> Using the right alternative property (11) can be written as $(y, (x, x, y)) = 0.$	(16)
By linearizing the identities (11) and (16), we have (y, (x, y, z)) = -(y, (z, y, x)) and $(y, (x, z, y)) = -(y, (z, x, y)).$	(17) (18)
From equations (2), (17), (18) and again (2) we get	

(9)

(11)

Community equation (1) with y, we have (y, (x, y, z) + (y, z, x) + (z, x, y)) = 0. From (19)

This equation becomes 
$$3(y,(x, y, z)) = 0$$
. Since R is 3- divisible,  
 $(y, (x, y, z)) = 0$ . (20)

From (20), the identity L=(x, (y, y, z) - 3(y, (x, z, y)) = 0 in [2] becomes (x, (y, y, z)) = 0.

Thus $(\mathbf{R}, (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})) = 0.$ (2)	21)	
--	-----	--

(22)

839

By linearizing equation (21), we obtain (w, (x, y, z)) = -(w, (y, x, z)).

Applying equations (2) and (22) repeatedly, we get (w, (x, y, z)) = -(w, (y, x, z)) = (w, (y, z, x)) = -(w, (z, y, x)) = (w, (z, x, y)).

Commuting equation (1) with w and applying the above equation, we obtain 3(w, (x, y, z)) = 0.

Since R is 3- divisible, we have 
$$(w, (x, y, z)) = 0.$$
 (23)

This completes the proof of the lemma.

© 2017, RJPA. All Rights Reserved

**Lemma 2:** If R is a 2 and 3 divisible (-1, 1) ring, then (r, w(x, y, z)) = 0.

**Proof:** Let r be an arbitrary element of R. By commuting equations (6), (8), (4) with r, and then applying (23) we get (r, y(x, z, w) = -(r, w(x, z, y)),(24)(r, y(x, y, z)) = 0(25)and (r, w(x, y, z)) = -(r, z(w, x, y)).(26)Linearizing equation (25), we have (r, w(x, y, z)) = -(r, y(x, w, z)).(27)Permutating cyclically (w z y x) in (26) and finally applying (24), we get (r, w(x, y, z)) = -(r, z(w, x, y)) = (r, y(z, w, x)) = -(r, x(y, z, w)) = (r, w(y, z, x)).(28)But using (27) and B(x, y, z) = 0, (28) can be written as (r, y(z, w, x)) = -(r, w(z, y, x)) = (r, w(z, x, y)).(29)Combining (28) and (29) we obtain (r, w(x, y, z)) = (r, w(y, z, x)) = (r, w(z, x, y)).(30)Multiplying equation A (x, y, z) = 0 by w and commuting with r, and applying (30), then 3(r, w(x, y, z)) = 0. Since R is 3- divisible, we have (r, w(x, y, z)) = 0. (31)Hence this completes the proof of the lemma. **Theorem 1**: A 2- and 3-divisible semiprime (-1, 1) ring R is associative. **Proof:** If u is an arbitrary associator, from (12) and (2) we have (x, y, u) = -(y, x, u) = (y, u, x).(32) Using (3) and (32) we get (u, x, y) = -(u, y, x) = -(y, x, u) = (y, u, x).(33)From (1) (x, y, u) + (y, u, x) + (u, x, y) = 0. This implies 3(x, y, u) = 0 using (32) and (33). Therefore (x, y, u) = 0, since R is 3- divisible. Associating equation (4) with r, s and using (x, y, u) = 0, then we obtain (r, s, w(x, y, z)) = -(r, s, (w, x, y,)z)= - (r, s, z(w, x, y)),

= (r, s, (z, w, x)y), permutating z, w, x, y cyclically

= (r, s, y(z, w, x)), = - (r, s, y(z, x, w)) using (2). = (r, s, (y, z, x)w) again cyclically. = (r, s, w(y, z, x). = -(r, s, w(z, y, x)), using (21). = (r, s, w(z, x, y)) using (2).

$$\therefore$$
 (r, s, w(x, y, z)) = (r, s, w(y, z, x)) = (r, s, w(z, x, y))

Multiplying the equation (1) with w and associate with r, s then we obtain (r, s, w(x, y, z)) + (r, s, w(y, z, x)) + (r, s, w(z, x, y)) = 0.

Using (34), the above equation becomes

3(r, s, w(x, y, z)) = 0, since R is 3- divisible then we have (r, s, w(x, y, z)) = 0.

We get (r, s, w) (x, y, z) = 0 by using (6).

Hence (R, R, R) (R, R, R) = 0.

We know that A is an associator ideal of R, so A.A=0, since R is semiprime then the ideal  $A^2 = 0$  implies A=0.

That is (R, R, R) = 0. Hence R is associative.

Now we give an example of a(-1,1) ring, which is nonassociative.

**Example**: Consider the algebra having basis elements x, y and z over an arbitrary field. We define  $x^2=y$ , yx=z and all other products of basis elements equal to zero. It clearly satisfies (1) and (2) conditions. Hence it is a (-1, 1) ring, but not associative, since (x, x, x) = z.

#### 4. REFERENCES

- 1. Thedy, A.: "On rings satisfying [(a, b, c), d] = 0", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.29 (1971), No.2, 250-254.
- 2. Hentzel, I.R.: "The characterization of (-1, 1) rings", Journal of Algebra., 30 (1974), 236-258.
- 3. Schafer, R.D.: "An introduction to nonassociative Algebras", Academic Press, New York, (1966).

#### Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2017, RJPA. All Rights Reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Research Journal of Pure Algebra (IRJPA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]

(34)