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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and let $x$ be an element of $R$. The Element Ideal Graph $\Gamma_{x}(R)$ is a graph whose vertex set is the set of nontrivial ideals of $R$ and two vertices I and $J$ are adjacent if and only if $x \in I J$. In this paper we consider the element ideal graph of the ring of integers.
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## INTRODUCTION

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity, and let $Z(R)$ be its set of zero divisors. We associate a simple graph $\Gamma(R)$ to $R$ with vertices $Z^{*}(R)=Z(R) \backslash\{(0)\}$, the set of all non-zero zero divisors of $R$, and for distinct $x, y \in Z^{*}(R)$, the vertices $x$ and $y$ are adjacent if and only if $x y=0$. Obviously $\Gamma(R)$ is empty if $R$ is an integral domain.

The zero divisor graph of a commutative ring was introduced by Beck in [4], and further studied in [1, 2, 3, 9, 10]. The annihilating ideal graph $A G(R)$ is a graph with vertex set $A G^{*}(R)=A G(R) \backslash\{(0)\}$ such that there is an edge between vertices $I$ and $J$ if and only if $I J=(0)$. The idea of annihilating ideal graph was introduced by Behboodi and Rakeei in [5, 6].

In [11], we introduced the notion of the element ideal graph of a commutative ring. In the present paper we consider the element ideal graph of the ring of integers.

From now on we shall use the symbolI - Jto denote for two adjacent ideal vertices I and J, and we use Z to denote the set of integer numbers.

## 1. BACKGROUND

In this section we state some definitions and theorems that we need in our work.
Definition: 1.1[11, P.404] Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and let $x \in R$. The element ideal graph is a graph whose vertex set is nontrivial ideals of $R$, and two of its vertices $I$ and $J$ are adjacent if and only if $x \in I J$. We denote the element ideal graph by $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{R})$.

## Definition: 1.2[8]

1. The distance $d(u, v)$ between a pair of vertices $u$ and $v$ of the graph $\Gamma$ is the minimum of the lengths of the $\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{v}$ paths of .
2. The degree of the vertex $a$ in the graph $\Gamma$ is the number of edges incident to $a$.
3. The diameter of the graph $\Gamma$ is the maximum distance between any two distinct vertices.
4. The girth of the graph $\Gamma$ is the length of the shortest cycle in $\Gamma$.
5. A bipartite graph is one whose vertex set is partitioned into two disjoint subsets in such a way that the two end vertices for each edge lie in distinct partition. The complete bipartite graph with exactly two partitions of order $m$ and 1 is called star.
[^0]
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6. A complete subgraph $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ of a graph $\Gamma$ is called a clique, and $\operatorname{cl}(\Gamma)$ is the clique number of $\Gamma$, which is the greatest integer $r \geq 1$ such that $K_{r} \subseteq \Gamma$.
7. The graph $\Gamma$ is called a plane graph if it can be drawn on a plane in such a way that any two of its edges either meet only at their end vertices or do not meet at all. A graph which is isomorphic to a plane graph is called a planar graph.

Theorem: 1.3[8, P.96] (Kuratowsky Theorem) A graph $\Gamma$ is planar if and only if it does not contains a graph homomorphic with $\mathrm{K}_{5}$ or $\mathrm{K}(3,3)$.

Theorem: $1.4[5, \mathbf{P} .8]$ For every ring $R$, the annihilating-ideal graph $A G(R)$ is connected and $\operatorname{diam}(A G(R)) \leq 3$. Moreover, if $A G(R)$ contains a cycle, then $g r(A G(R)) \leq 4$.

Theorem: $1.5\left[12\right.$ ] Let $n>1$ be a non-prime integer. Then, $\Gamma\left(Z_{n}\right)$ contains a subgraph which isomorphic with $A G\left(Z_{n}\right)$.

## 2. THE ELEMENT IDEAL GRAPH OF A RING OF INTEGERS

The main purpose of this section is to investigate the element ideal graph of the ring of integers.
We begin this section by the following example.
Example: 1 The graph $\Gamma_{12}(Z)$ is:
(2) (3)
(6) (4)


Lemma: 2.1 A nontrivial ideal I of Z is an ideal vertex of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ if and only if $x$ divisible by the generator of I .
Proof: Let $\mathrm{I}=(\mathrm{a})$ be an ideal vertex of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$. Then there exists an ideal vertex $(\mathrm{b})$ of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ such that $\mathrm{x} \in(a)(\mathrm{b})$. This implies that there exists an integer r such that $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{rab}$. Hence $a \mid \mathrm{x}$.

Conversely, if x is divisible by the generator of $\mathrm{I}=(a)$, then there exists an integer r such that $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{ra}$. Obviously $\mathrm{x} \in(a)(\mathrm{r})$. Hence $(a)$ is an ideal vertex of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$.

Example: 2 obviously (2) is an ideal vertex of $\Gamma_{12}(Z)$ and 2 divides 12.
Proposition: 2.2 Let $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{Z}^{+}-\{1\}$. Then x is a prime number if and only if $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})=\emptyset$.
Proof: Let $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})=\emptyset$. Then by Lemma2.1, the only divisors of x are $\mp 1$ and $\mp \mathrm{x}$. This means that x is a prime number.
Conversely, ifx is a prime number, then $x$ has no divisor except $\mp 1$ and $\mp x$. Then by Lemma2.1, $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(Z)=\emptyset$.
Example: 3 The graph $\Gamma_{2}(Z)$ is an empty graph.
The next result illustrates the adjacency of two ideals in the element ideal graph of the ring of integers.
Theorem: 2.3 Let $\mathrm{x}, a, b \in \mathrm{Z}-\{0, \mp 1\}$. If ( $a$ ) and (b) are ideal vertices of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ such that $a$ and b are relatively prime integers, then (a) and (b) are adjacent ideal vertices in $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$.

Proof: Since (a) and (b) are ideal vertices of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$, then by Lemma 2.1, both $a$ and b divide x . Since the common divisor of $a$ and $b$ is equal to 1 , then $a b$ divides x . This means that $\mathrm{x} \in(a)(\mathrm{b})$. Thus ( $a$ ) and (b) are adjacent $\mathrm{in} \Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$.

Example: 4 The ideals (3) and (4) of $Z$ are adjacent ideal vertices in $\Gamma_{12}(Z)$, since 3 and 4 are relatively prime.
The converse of Theorem2.3 may not be true in general, as the following example shows.
Example: 5 The ideals (6) and (4) of $Z$ are adjacent ideal vertices in $\Gamma_{24}(Z)$, while 6 and 4 are not relatively prime.
The next result illustrates that $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ is infinite if and only if $\mathrm{x}=0$.
Proposition: 2.4 Every nontrivial ideal of Z is a vertex of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ if and only if $\mathrm{x}=0$.
Proof: Suppose that every ideal (a) of Z is an ideal vertex of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$.Then by Lemma2.1, every nonzero integer divides x . This statement is true for the only when $\mathrm{x}=0$.

The next result demonstrates that the divisibility leads to more adjacency in the element ideal graph.
Theorem: 2.5 Let $\mathrm{x}, a, b \in \mathrm{Z}-\{0, \mp 1\}$ with b is a non-prime integer. Then $(a)$ is adjacent to (b) in $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ if and only if ( $a$ ) is adjacent to all non-trivial ideals which are generated by divisors of $b$.

Proof: Let (a) and (b) be two adjacent ideal vertices of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ and let $\mathrm{c} \neq \mp 1$ be the divisor of b . This means that $\mathrm{x} \in(a)(\mathrm{b})$ and $\mathrm{c} \mid \mathrm{b}$. Then there exists $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s} \in \mathrm{Z}$ such that $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{rab}$ and $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{sc}$. This implies that $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{rs} a \mathrm{c}$. Thus $\mathrm{x} \in(a)(\mathrm{c})$. This means that (a) and (c) are adjacent ideal vertices in $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$.

Conversely, if (a) is adjacent to all nontrivial ideals which are generated by divisors of b, then (a) is adjacent to (b), since $b$ is a divisor of itself.

Example: 6 The ideals (2) and (6) of $Z$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_{12}(Z)$ and 3 is a divisor of 6 . So (2) is also adjacent to (3) in $\Gamma_{12}(Z)$.

From Theorem2.5 the following corollary is immediate.
Corollary: 2.6 Let $\mathrm{x}, a, b \in \mathrm{Z}-\{0, \bar{\mp} 1\}$. If $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ consists of only one edge $(a)-(b)$ of distinct terminals, then b is either a prime number or divisible by $a$.

Proof: Let b is a non-prime number. Then there exists, $c \in Z-\{0, \mp 1, \mp b\}$ such that $c \mid b$. By Theorem2.5, (a) and (c) are adjacent $\Gamma_{x}(Z)$. Since $c \neq \mp \mathrm{b}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(Z)$ consists of the only one edge $(a)-(\mathrm{b})$, then $(\mathrm{c})=(a)$. This implies that $a=\mp \mathrm{c}$. Therefore $a \mid \mathrm{b}$.

Example: 7 The graph $\Gamma_{27}(Z)$ consists of the edge (3) - (9) and the loop (3) — (3), and 9 is divisible by 3.
In the next result, we put a certain condition for the element ideal graph to be a star graph.
Theorem: 2.7 Let x be a nonzero integer such that $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z}) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ is a star graph if and only if $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ consists of only one edge of distinct terminals.

Proof: Let $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ be a star graph with center (a), and let (b) and (c) be two ideal vertices incident to (a) in $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$. This means that $\mathrm{x} \in(a)(\mathrm{b}) \cap(a)(\mathrm{c})$. Then there exist $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{Z}$ such that $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{rab}=\mathrm{s} a \mathrm{c}$. This implies that $\mathrm{x} \in(r a)(\mathrm{b}) \cap(\mathrm{sa} a)(\mathrm{c})$. Thus (b) and (c) are adjacent to (ra) and (sa) respectively. But (b) and (c) are the end vertices of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$, so ( $a$ ) $=(\mathrm{ra} a)=(\mathrm{s} a)$.This gives that $\mathrm{r}=\bar{\mp} 1$ and $\mathrm{s}=\mp 1$. Since $\mathrm{r} a \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{s} a \mathrm{c}$, then $\mp a \mathrm{~b}=\bar{\mp} a \mathrm{c}$. The cancellation law gives $\bar{\mp} \mathrm{b}=\bar{\mp} \mathrm{c}$. Thus $(\mathrm{b})=(\mathrm{c})$. Hence $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ consists of the only one edge of distinct terminals (a) and (b).

The converse is clear, since every graph consisting of one edge is a star graph.
Example: 8 The graph $\Gamma_{15}(Z)$ is a star graph with the only edge (3)-(5).
The following result shows that the graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ may be a star graph of looped center.
Theorem: 2.8 Let $n \in Z^{+}-\{1\}$ and let $p$ be a prime number. Then the $\operatorname{graph} \Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ is star graph of looped center $(p)$ if and only if either $\mathrm{n}=2$ or $\mathrm{n}=3$.

Proof: If $\mathrm{n}=2$, then $\Gamma_{\mathrm{p}^{n}}(\mathrm{Z})$ consists of the loop $(\mathrm{p})-(\mathrm{p})$. If $\mathrm{n}=3$, then $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(\mathrm{Z})$ consists of the edge $(\mathrm{p})$ — $\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$ and the loop $(p)$ - (p). From both cases we see that the graph $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ is a star graph of looped center (p).

Conversely, suppose that $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ is a star graph of looped center (p). Since $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z) \neq \emptyset$, then by Proposition2.2, $n \neq 1$. Now we determine those integers at which $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ is a star graph of looped center (p). If $n=4$, then $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ consists of the edges $(p)-\left(p^{2}\right)$ and $(p)-\left(p^{3}\right)$ with the loops $(p)-(p)$ and $\left(p^{2}\right)-\left(p^{2}\right)$.In this case $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ is not a star graph, because it has a loop at the vertex $\left(p^{2}\right)$. If $n>4$, then $p^{n} \in(p)\left(p^{2}\right) \cap\left(p^{2}\right)\left(p^{3}\right) \cap(p)\left(p^{3}\right)$. This means that (p)-( $\left.p^{2}\right)-\left(p^{3}\right)-(p)$ is a cycle in $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$. In this case $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ is not a star graph for every $n>4$. Hence the only cases for $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ to be a star graph of looped center (p) are $n=2$ and $n=3$.

Example: 9 The graph $\Gamma_{8}(Z)$ is a star graph of looped center (2).
(4)
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The next result illustrates the planarity of the graph $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$.
Theorem: 2.9 Let $n \in Z^{+}-\{1\}$ and let p be a prime number. Then the $\operatorname{graph}_{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}}(\mathrm{Z})$ is planar graph if and only if $\mathrm{n}<9$.
Proof: Suppose that $\mathrm{n}<9$. If $\mathrm{n}=8$, then the graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}}(\mathrm{Z})$ can be constructed as follows: ( $\mathrm{p}^{2}$ )
$\left(p^{6}\right)\left(p^{4}\right)-\left(p^{3}\right)-\left(p^{5}\right)$

$\left(p^{7}\right)-(p)$
Clearly the graph $\Gamma_{p^{8}}(Z)$ is a planar graph. Since $\Gamma_{p^{2}}(Z), \Gamma_{p^{3}}(Z), \ldots, \Gamma_{p^{7}}(Z)$ are subgraphs of $\Gamma_{p^{8}}(Z)$, then they are also planar graphs.

Conversely, suppose that $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ is a planar graph. We have to show that $n<9$. If $n \geq 9$, then the graph $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ contains a complete subgraph $K_{5}$ whose vertices are $(p),\left(p^{2}\right),\left(p^{3}\right),\left(p^{4}\right)$ and $\left(p^{5}\right)$. Then by Kuratowsky Theorem in [7], $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ is not planar graph. This contradicts the fact that $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ is a planar graph. Therefore $n$ must be less than 9 .

Proposition: 2.10 Let $x, a, b \in Z-\{0, \mp 1\}$ such that $(a)$ is an end vertex of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$. Then $(a)$ —(b) is an edge of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ iff $\mathrm{x}=\bar{\mp} a \mathrm{~b}$.

Proof: Let $(a)$ - (b) be an edge of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$. Then $\mathrm{x} \in(a)(\mathrm{b})$. This implies that $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{rab}$ for some $\mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{Z}$, then $\mathrm{x} \in(a)(\mathrm{rb})$.This means that $(a)$ - (rb) is an edge of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$. Since $(a)$ is an end vertex of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$, then $(\mathrm{b})=(\mathrm{rb})$. This gives that $\mathrm{r}=\mp 1$, and hence $x=\mp a b$.

The converse is clear, since $\mathrm{x}=\mp a \mathrm{~b}$ implies that $\mathrm{x} \in(a)(\mathrm{b})$.This means that $(a)-(\mathrm{b})$ is an edge $\mathrm{in} \Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$.

Example: 10 In the graph $\Gamma_{12}(Z)$, the ideal vertex (6) is an end vertex adjacent to the ideal vertex (2).
(2)
(6) (4)
$\Gamma_{12}(\mathrm{Z})$


In the next result we find the clique number of $\Gamma_{p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n}}(Z)$.
Theorem: 2.11 If $n \in Z^{+}-\{1\}$ and $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$ are distinct prime numbers, then the graph $\Gamma_{p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n}}(Z)$ contains a maximal complete subgraph of order $n$, moreover $\mathrm{cl}\left(\Gamma_{p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n}}(Z)\right)=n$.

Proof: Define the graph G by $\mathrm{G}=\left\{\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}\right): \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}\right\}$. Since $p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n} \in\left(p_{i}\right)\left(p_{k}\right)$ for every $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$. Obviously, $G$ is a complete subgraph of $\Gamma_{p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n}}(Z)$ of order $n$. To show that the graph $G$ is a maximal complete subgraph of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}(\mathrm{Z})$, let $(a)$ be any ideal vertex of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}(\mathrm{Z})$ different from $\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and adjacent to all of them. Then at least one of $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$, say $p_{1}$ is different from $a$ and divides it. Since $(a)$ is adjacent to $\left(p_{1}\right)$, then $p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n} \in\left(p_{1}\right)(a)$. This implies that there exists an integer $r$ such that $p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n}=r p_{1} a$. Since $p_{1} \mid a$, then $p_{1}{ }^{2} \mid p_{1} a$. This implies that $\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} \mid p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n}$. This contradicts the fact that $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are distinct prime numbers. Therefore G is a maximal complete subgraph of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}(\mathrm{Z})$. Hence $\mathrm{cl}\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}(\mathrm{Z})\right)=\mathrm{n}$.

Example: 11 Consider the graph $\Gamma_{30}(Z)$.
(2) (3)
(10)
(6)


$$
\Gamma_{30}(Z)
$$

Clearly $\mathrm{cl}\left(\Gamma_{30}(\mathrm{Z})\right.$ is equal to the number of primes which are divide 30 , that is $\mathrm{cl}\left(\Gamma_{30}(\mathrm{Z})=3\right.$.
In the next result we find the clique number of $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$.
Theorem: 2.12 Let p be a prime number. Then $\left.\left.\Gamma_{p^{2 n+1}}(Z)\right)=\Gamma_{p^{2 n}+2}(Z)\right)=n+1$ for every $n \in Z^{+}$.
Proof: Clearly, the ideal vertices of $\Gamma_{p^{2 n+1}}(Z)$ and $\Gamma_{p^{2 n+2}}(Z)$ are $(p),\left(p^{2}\right), \ldots,\left(p^{2 n}\right)$ and ( $p$ ), ( $p^{2}$ ), $\ldots,\left(p^{2 n+1}\right)$ respectively. Since $p^{2 n} \in\left(p^{i}\right)\left(p^{j}\right)$, for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n+1$, then the graph $G=\left\{\left(p^{i}\right)-\left(p^{j}\right)\right.$ : $\left.i, j=1,2, \ldots, n+1\right\}$ is a complete subgraph of $\Gamma_{p^{2 n+1}}(Z)$ and $\Gamma_{p^{2 n+2}}(Z)$. To show that $G$ is a maximal complete subgraph of $\Gamma_{p^{2 n+1}}(Z)$, let ( $p^{m}$ ) be any ideal vertex of $\Gamma_{p^{2 n+1}}(Z)$ such that $\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{n}+1$, then $\mathrm{p}^{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \notin\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$, which means that $\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is not adjacent to $\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$. Thus G is a maximal complete subgraph of $\Gamma_{p^{2 n+1}}(Z)$ of order $k+1$, and hence $c l\left(\Gamma_{p^{2 n+1}}(Z)\right)=n+1$ for every $n \in Z^{+}$. It is remain to show that $G$ is also a maximal complete subgraph of $\Gamma_{p^{2 n+2}}(Z)$. If $\left(p^{m}\right)$ is an ideal vertex of $\Gamma_{p^{2 n+2}}(Z)$ such that $m>n+1$, then $p^{2 n+2} \notin\left(p^{m}\right)\left(p^{n+1}\right)$, that means $\left(p^{m}\right)$ is not adjacent to $\left(p^{n+1}\right)$. Thus $G$ is a maximal complete subgraph of $\Gamma_{p^{2 n}+2}(Z)$ of order $\mathrm{k}+1$. Hence $\mathrm{cl}\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{p}^{2 n+2}}(\mathrm{Z})\right)=\mathrm{n}+1$ for every $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{Z}^{+}$.

Example: 12 Consider the graphs $\Gamma_{32}(Z)$ and $\Gamma_{64}(Z)$.
(2)

$\Gamma_{32}(\mathrm{Z}) \Gamma_{64}(\mathrm{Z})$
Clearly $\mathrm{cl}\left(\Gamma_{32}(\mathrm{Z})\right)=\mathrm{cl}\left(\Gamma_{64}(\mathrm{Z})\right)=3$.

## 3. THE RELATIONSHIP $\Gamma_{n}(Z)$ AND AG ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ )

In this section, the relationship between two element ideal graphs of the ring of integers will be explored. Moreover the relationship between the element ideal graph and the graph of annihilating ideals will be illustrated.

We start this section with the following result.
Proposition: 3.1 Let $\mathrm{xy} \in \mathrm{Z}^{+} \backslash\{1\}$ such that x is a product of two relatively prime integers. Then $\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{y}$ if and only if $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z}) \subseteq \Gamma_{y}(Z)$.

Proof: Let $\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{y}$. Then x is a factor of y . So by Proposition2.14 in $[10], \Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z}) \subseteq \Gamma_{y}(Z)$.
Conversely, let $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z}) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{Z})$, and let $\mathrm{x}=a \mathrm{~b}$ for some relatively prime integers $a \mathrm{~b} \in \mathrm{Z}^{+} \backslash\{1\}$. Then $\mathrm{x} \in(a)(\mathrm{b})$. This means that $(a)$ - (b) is an edge in $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$. Since $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z}) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{Z})$, then $(a)$ - (b) is also an edge in $\Gamma_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{Z})$. By Lemma2.1, both $a$ and $b$ divide $y$. Since $a$ and $b$ are relatively prime integers, then $x=a b \mid y$.

Example: 13 The graph $\Gamma_{6}(Z)$ is a subgraph of $\Gamma_{12}(Z)$, since6 divides 12.
The next result gives a sufficient condition for two element ideal graphs to be disjoint.
Proposition: 3.2 If x and y are relatively prime integers, then $\Gamma_{x}(Z)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{Z})$ are disjoint.
Proof: Let $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z}) \cap \Gamma_{y}(Z) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{Z})$ contain an edge say $(a)$-(b) .From Lemma2.1, both $a$ and b divide x and y . Since $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=1$, then $1=a \mathrm{~b} \in(a)$. This contradicts the fact that $(a)$ is a nontrivial ideal. Therefore $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{Z})$ are disjoint.

Example: 14 The graphs $\Gamma_{9}(Z)$ and $\Gamma_{16}(Z)$ are disjoint, since 9 and 16 are relatively prime integers.
(3) (2)

$\Gamma_{9}(\mathrm{Z}) \Gamma_{16}(Z)$
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Clearly $\Gamma_{9}(Z) \cap \Gamma_{16}(Z)=\emptyset$.
The next example shows that the converse of Proposition3．2 may not be true in general．
Example： 15 The graphs $\Gamma_{4}(Z)$ and $\Gamma_{6}(Z)$ are disjoint，while 4 and 6 are not relatively prime integers．
The next result gives a sufficient condition for two element ideal graphs to be identical．
Theorem：3．3 Let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{Z}-\{0, \pm 1\}$ be non－primes．Then $\Gamma_{x}(Z)=\Gamma_{y}(Z)$ if and only if $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$ ．

Proof：Let $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})=\Gamma_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{Z})$ ．Then $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in(a)(\mathrm{b})$ for every edge $(a)$－（b）of $\Gamma_{x}(Z)$ and $\Gamma_{y}(Z)$ ．Then there exist $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{Z}$ such that $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{rab}$ and $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{s} a \mathrm{~b}$ ．This implies that $\mathrm{x} \in(\mathrm{ra} a)(\mathrm{b})$ and $y \in(s a)(\mathrm{b})$ ，hence $(r a)$－（b）and（sa）－（b）are edges in $\Gamma_{x}(Z)=\Gamma_{y}(Z)$ ．This yields that $\mathrm{y} \in(r a)(\mathrm{b})$ and $x \in(s a)(\mathrm{b})$ ，it follows that there exist integers t and w such that $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{trab}=\mathrm{tx}$ and $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{wsab}=\mathrm{wy}$ ．This implies that $\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{y}$ and $\mathrm{y} \mid \mathrm{x}$ ．Thus $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$ ．

Example： 16 The graphs $\Gamma_{4}(Z)$ and $\Gamma_{8}(Z)$ are not identical，while $4 \neq 8$ ．
It is natural to ask whether $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z})$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{Z})$ are isomorphic for every $\mathrm{x}, y \in \mathrm{Z}-\{0, \mp 1\}$ ，the answer is negative，as the following example shows．

Example： 17 Clearly $\Gamma_{6}(Z)$ and $\Gamma_{12}(Z)$ are not isomorphic，since．The number of vertices of $\Gamma_{6}(Z)$ is equal to 2，while the number of vertices of $\Gamma_{12}(Z)$ is equal to 4 ．

The next result gives a condition which ensure the isomorphism between the element ideal graph of the ring of integers and the annihilating ideal graph of the ring of integers modulon．

Theorem：4．2．4 If $n \in Z+-\{1\}$ is not prime number，then $\Gamma_{n}(Z)$ 因 $(\mathrm{Zn})$ ．
Proof：Since $n>1$ is not prime，then $n$ has some divisors．We denote all positive divisors of $n$ by $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ with $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}<\ldots<\alpha_{m}$ for some $m \in Z+$ ．Since $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ are divisors of $n$ ，then there exist $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ such that $n=\alpha_{k} \beta_{k}$ ，for every $\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$ ．By Lemma4．1．1，the only ideal vertices of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Z})$ are $\left(\alpha_{1}\right),\left(\alpha_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ ．It is clear that the only ideal vertices of $\mathrm{AG}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ are ideals $\left(\overline{\alpha_{1}}\right),\left(\overline{\alpha_{2}}\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{\alpha_{m}}\right)$ of Zn ．Define the mapping $\mathrm{f}: \Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AG}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ by $\mathrm{f}\left(\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right)=\left(\overline{\beta_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)$ ． Obviously， f is onto．Now we give two ideal vertices $\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$ of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Z})$ such that $\mathrm{f}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right)$ ．This implies that $\left(\overline{\beta_{\mathrm{l}}}\right)=\left(\overline{\beta_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)$ ．Since $\beta_{\mathrm{l}} \in\left(\overline{\beta_{\mathrm{l}}}\right)=\left(\overline{\beta_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)$ ，then $\beta_{\mathrm{l}}-\beta_{\mathrm{k}}=$ sn for some integer s．This means that $\mathrm{n} \mid\left(\beta_{\mathrm{l}}-\beta_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ ．And this statement is true only when $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{\mathrm{k}}$ ．This implies that $\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$ ．Thus f is one to one．Suppose that $\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ are adjacent ideal vertices in $\Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Z})$ ．This means that $\mathrm{n} \in\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ ．Then there exists an integer r such that $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{r} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{\mathrm{k}}$ ．Since $\mathrm{n}=\alpha_{1} \beta_{\mathrm{l}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{k}} \beta_{\mathrm{k}}$ ，then $\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{\mathrm{l}}\right)\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{k}} \beta_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{r} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\left(\mathrm{r} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ ．Then the cancellation gives $\beta_{\mathrm{l}} \beta_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{r}^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{k}} \alpha_{\mathrm{l}}=\mathrm{rn}$ ．Thus $\bar{\beta}_{\mathrm{l}} \overline{\beta_{\mathrm{k}}}=\overline{0}$ ．This implies that $\mathrm{f}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right) \mathrm{f}\left(\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right)=\left(\overline{\beta_{\mathrm{I}}}\right)\left(\overline{\beta_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)=(\overline{0})$ ．This means that $\mathrm{f}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\mathrm{f}\left(\left(\alpha_{2}\right)\right)$ are adjacent ideal vertices in $\operatorname{AG}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ ． Thus $f$ preserves the adjacency property．Hence $\Gamma_{n}(Z)$ 因 $\left(Z_{n}\right)$ ．

Example： 18 The graphs $\Gamma_{12}(Z)$ and $A G\left(Z_{12}\right)$ can be drown as follows．
（2）
（3）$(\overline{6})$

（6）
（ $\overline{3})$
$\Gamma_{12}(Z) A G\left(Z_{12}\right)$

Clearly $\Gamma_{12}(Z)$ 因 $\left(Z_{12}\right)$ ．
The following corollaries follow from Theorem3．4．
Corollary： 3.5 If $n>1$ is a nonprime integer，then $\Gamma_{n}(Z)$ is a finite connected graph with diameter less than or equal to 3 ，and the girth less than or equal to 4 ．

Proof：From Theorem1．4，the graph $\operatorname{AG}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is a finite connected graph with diameter less than or equal to 3 ，and the girth less than or equal to 4 ．Then by Theorem3．4，the graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Z})$ is also connected with diameter less than or equal to 3 ，and the girth less than or equal to 4 ．

Corollary: 3.6 If $n \in Z^{+}-\{1\}$ is not prime number, then $\Gamma_{n}(Z)$ contains a subgraph which isomorphic to $\Gamma_{n}(Z)$.
Proof: From Theorem1.5, there exists a subgraph $G$ of $\Gamma_{n}(Z)$ such that $G \operatorname{Ra}^{(G)}\left(Z_{n}\right)$. Then by Theorem 3.4, $G \rrbracket_{n}(Z)$.
Corollary: 3.7 If p is a prime number, then $\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)$ has diameter 2 for every $n \in Z^{+}-\{1,2,3\}$.
Proof: First we prove that diam $\left(\mathrm{AG}\left(Z_{p^{n}}\right)\right)=2$. Obviously the ideal vertices of $\mathrm{AG}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}}\right)$ are $\left(\overline{p^{1}}\right),\left(\overline{p^{2}}\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{p^{n-1}}\right)$. Let $\left(\overline{p^{m}}\right)$ and $\left(\overline{p^{k}}\right)$ be any two distinct ideal vertices of $\operatorname{AG}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}}\right)$. Since $\left(\overline{p^{m}}\right)\left(\overline{p^{n-1}}\right)=\left(\overline{p^{k}}\right)\left(\overline{p^{n-1}}\right)=(\overline{0})$, then $\left(\overline{p^{m}}\right)$ and $\left(\overline{p^{k}}\right)$ are adjacent to $\left(\overline{p^{n-1}}\right)$ in $\mathrm{AG}(\mathrm{R})$.This means that the distance between any two distinct ideal vertices of $\mathrm{AG}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}}\right)$ is less than or equal to 2 . Since the diameter is the maximum distance between any two distinct vertices, then the diameter of $A G\left(Z_{p^{n}}\right)$ is equal to 2 for every $n>3$.ByTheorem3.4, $\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{p^{n}}(Z)=2\right.$ for every $n \in Z^{+}-\{1,2,3\}$.

Example: 19 Consider the element ideal graph $\Gamma_{64}(Z)$.
(2)
$\left(2^{4}\right)$


Clearly the diameter of $\Gamma_{64}(Z)$ is equal to 2 .
Before we close this section, we give the following result.
Theorem: 3.8 Let $n \in Z^{+}$and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be non-negative fixed integers. Then all graphs of the form


Proof: Let $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}, q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}$ be prime numbers with $p_{i} \neq p_{j}$ and $q_{i} \neq q_{j}$ for all $i \neq j$.Give two graph
 $\mathrm{f}\left(\left(p_{1}{ }^{s_{1}} p_{2}^{s_{2}} \ldots p_{n}{ }^{s_{n}}\right)\right)=\left(q_{1}{ }^{s_{1}} q_{2}{ }^{s_{2}} \ldots q_{n}{ }^{s_{n}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are non-negative integers less than or equal to $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{\mathrm{n}}$

 thatq ${ }^{s_{1}} \mathrm{q}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{s}_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{1}} \mathrm{q}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}}$, since every two ideals of Z of distinct positive generators are distinct. It follows that $s_{i}=r_{i}$, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Thus $I=\left(p_{1}{ }^{s_{1}} p_{2}{ }^{s_{2}} \ldots p_{n}{ }^{s_{n}}\right)=\left(p_{1}{ }^{r_{1}} p_{2}{ }^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{n}{ }^{r_{n}}\right)=J$. Hence $f$ is one to one. LetI $=\left(p_{1}{ }^{s_{1}} p_{2}{ }^{s_{2}} \ldots p_{n}{ }^{{ }^{n}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{J}=\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{1}} \mathrm{p}_{2}{ }^{{ }^{2}} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right)$ be adjacent ideal vertices $\mathrm{in} \Gamma_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}{ }^{\alpha_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2}}{ }^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\alpha_{n}}(\mathrm{Z})$. Then $p_{1}{ }^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}{ }^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots p_{n}{ }^{\alpha_{n}} \in$ IJ. From Lemma2.1, $\mathrm{p}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{s}_{1}} \mathrm{p}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{s}_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{1}} \mathrm{p}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}}$ divide $\mathrm{p}_{1}{ }^{\alpha_{1}} \mathrm{p}_{2}{ }^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}}$. Thus $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}$ for all $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$. This implies that $\mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{s}_{1}} \mathrm{q}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{s}_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{1}} \mathrm{q}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}}$ divide $\mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\alpha_{1}} \mathrm{q}_{2}{ }^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}}$. FromLemma2.1, $q_{1}{ }^{\alpha_{1}} q_{2}{ }^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots q_{n}{ }^{\alpha_{n}} \in\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{s}_{1}} \mathrm{q}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{s}_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right)\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{1}} \mathrm{q}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right)=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{J})$. This means that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{J})$ are adjacent ideal
 are isomorphic.

Example: 20 Consider the graphs $\Gamma_{30}(Z)$ and $\Gamma_{154}(Z)$.
(15)
(2)
(5)
(6)
(22)
(7)


$$
\Gamma_{30}(Z) \Gamma_{154}(Z)
$$

Clearly, $\Gamma_{30}(\mathrm{Z}) \mathrm{T}_{154}(\mathrm{Z})$.
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