International Research Journal of Pure Algebra -4(3), 2014, 426-431

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM WITH INTEGRAL TYPE INEQUALITY

Swati Choursiya*

School of Studied in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain (M.P.), 456001, India.

Dr. V. K. Gupta

Govt. Madhav Science College, Vikram University, Ujjain-(M.P.)-456001, India.

Dr. V. H. Badshah

Prof. and Head, School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain-(M.P.)-456001, India.

(Received on: 15-02-14; Revised & Accepted on: 28-02-14)

ABSTRACT

T he purpose of this paper we establish common fixed point theorems for six self maps by using compatible of type (α) with integral type inequality, without appeal to continuity in fuzzy metric space.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

Keywords: Compatible maps, Compatible maps of type (α) , weakly compatible, common fixed point, Fuzzy metric Space.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Zadeh [17] introduced the concept of Fuzzy sets. The concept of fuzzy sets, fuzzy metric spaces have been introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [9]. George and Veeramani [5] modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norms. Grabice [6] has proved fixed point results for Fuzzy metric space. Singh and Chauhan [13] introduced the concept of compatible mappings of Fuzzy metric space and proved the common fixed point theorem. Singh and Jain [14] studied the notion of weak compatibility in FM - spaces (introduced by Jungck and Rhoades [7] in metric spaces). Recently, some fixed point results for mappings satisfying an integral type contractive condition are obtained by Altun, Turkoglu and Rhoades [1], Rhoades [11], Vijayaraju, Rhoades and Mohanraj [16] and Sedghi, Shobe and Aliouche [12]. Suzuki [15] showed that Meir-Keeler contractions of integral type are still Meir-Keeler contractions. Jungck *et. al.* [8] introduced the concept of compatible maps of type (A) in metric space and proved fixed point theorems. Integral type contraction principle is one of the most popular contraction principles in fixed point theorem. The first known result in this direction was given by Branciari [2] in general setting of lebgesgue integral function and proved fixed point theorems in metric spaces. In this paper the results Rangamma and Padma [10] are also assist. Cho [3, 4] introduced the concept of compatible maps of type (α) and compatible maps of type (β) in fuzzy metric space.

The aim of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem for six mappings using compatible of type (α) with integral type inequality, without appeal to continuity.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition: 2.1 Let *X* be any set. A fuzzy set in *X* is a function with domain *X* and values in [0, 1].

Definition: 2.2 A binary operation *: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a continuous t-norm if * is satisfying the following conditions: a) * is commutative and associative, b) * is continuous,

c) a * 1 = a for all $a \in [0, 1]$,

d) a * b \leq c * d whenever a \leq c and b \leq d for all a, b, c, d \in [0, 1].

Corresponding author: Swati Choursiya School of Studied in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain (M.P), 456001, India. E-mail: swati.choursiya09@gmail.com

Swati Choursiya*/ A Common Fixed Point Theorem with Integral Type Inequality / IRJPA- 4(3), March-2014.

Definition: 2.3 The 3-tuple (X, M, *) is said to be a Fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a Fuzzy set in $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions: for all x, y, $z \in X$ and s, t > 0.

(FM-1) M(x, y, 0) = 0,

(FM-2) M(x, y, t) = 1 for all t > 0 if and only if x = y,

(FM-3) M (x, y, t) = M (y, x, t),

(FM-4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t + s)$,

(FM-5) M(x, y, .) : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous,

(FM-6) $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x, y, t) = 1.$

Note that M(x, y, t) can be considered as the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t. We identify x = y with M(x, y, t) = 1 for all t > 0.

Definition: 2.4 Let (X, M,*) be fuzzy metric space then,

- a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to x in X if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and each t > 0, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $M(x_n, x, t) > 1 \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$.
- b) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy sequence for each $\epsilon > 0$ and t > 0, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $M(x_m, x_n, t) > 1 \epsilon$ for all m, $n \ge n_0$.
- c) A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Definition: 2.5 Self mappings A and S of a Fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) are said to be compatible if and only if $M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) \rightarrow 1$ for all t > 0, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Sx_n, Ax_n \rightarrow p$ for some p in X as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition: 2.6 Self map A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) are said to be compatible of type (α) if and only if $M(ASx_n, SSx_n, t) \rightarrow 1$ and $M(AAx_n, ASx_n, t) \rightarrow 1$ for all t > 0,

where $\{x_n\}$, is a sequence in X such that Ax_n , $Sx_n \rightarrow p$ for some p in X as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that compatible map of type (α) is equivalent to the compatible map of type (β).

Lemma: 2.7 In a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) limit of a sequence is unique.

Lemma: 2.8 Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space. For all $x, y \in X, M(x, y, \bullet)$ is non decreasing.

Lemma: 2.9 Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(x, y, kt) \ge M(x, y, t)$ then x = y.

Lemma: 2.10 Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *). If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) \ge M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$ for all t > 0 and $n \in N$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Proposition: 2.11 In a fuzzy metric space (X, M,*), if $a^* a \ge a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$ then $a^* b = \min \{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.12: Self maps A and S of a Fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) are said to be compatible maps of type (β) if $M(AAx_n, SSx_n, t) \rightarrow 1$ for all t > 0, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Sx_n, Ax_n \rightarrow p$ for some p in X as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3. RESULT

Theorem: Let P, Q, A, B, S and T be self maps of a complete fuzzy metric space from X into itself such that (3.1.1) AB(X) \subset P(X), ST(X) \subset Q(X), (3.1.2) AB = BA, ST = TS, QB = BQ, PT = TP, (3.1.3) (AB, Q) is compatible of type (α) and (ST, P) is weakly compatible (3.1.4) $\int_{0}^{M(ABx,STy,kt)} \xi(v) dv \ge \int_{0}^{\min \{M(ABx,Qx,t),M(STy,Py,t),M(Qx,Py,t),M(ABx,Py,t)\}} \xi(v) dv$ for all x, y \in X, k \in (0, 1), t > 0.

Where $\xi : [0, +\infty] \to [0, +\infty]$ is a lebgesgue integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, +\infty]$ non negative and such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^{\varepsilon} \xi(v) dv > 0$. Then A, B, S, T, P and Q have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$, then by $AB(X) \subset P(X)$, there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $ABx_0 = Px_1$,

Since $ST(X) \subset Q(X)$ for this point x_1 , we choose a point $x_2 \in X$ such that

 $STx_1 = Qx_2$

Swati Choursiya*/ A Common Fixed Point Theorem with Integral Type Inequality / IRJPA- 4(3), March-2014.

Inductively, we can Now consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X as follows

$$ABx_{2n} = Px_{2n+1} = y_{2n}$$
 and $STx_{2n+1} = Qx_{2n+2} = y_{2n+1}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$

Step - 1. Put $x = x_{2n+1}$ and $y = x_{2n}$ in (3.1.4), we get

$$\int_{0}^{M(ABx_{2n+1},STx_{2n},kt)} \xi(v) dv \\ \min \left\{ M(ABx_{2n+1},Qx_{2n+1},t), M(STx_{2n},Px_{2n},t), M(Qx_{2n+1},Px_{2n},t), M(Qx_{2n+1},STx_{2n},t), M(ABx_{2n+1},Px_{2n},t) \right\} \\ \geq \int_{0}^{M(ABx_{2n+1},STx_{2n},kt)} \xi(v) dv \\ \xi(v) dv \\$$

From lemma 2.8 and lemma 2.10, we have

$$\int_{0}^{M(y_{2n},y_{2n+1,},kt)} \xi(v) dv \geq \int_{0}^{\min{\{M(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},t),M(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},t)\}}} \xi(v) dv$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{0}^{M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt)} \xi(v) dv \ge \int_{0}^{\min \{M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t)\}} \xi(v) dv$$

Since
$$\xi(\mathbf{v})$$
dv is a lebesgue integrable function so we have

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \ge M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)$$

Similarly, we have

 $M(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n+3},\,kt)\geq M(y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n+2},\,t).$

Thus, we have

 $M(y_{n+1},\,y_{n+2},\,kt)\geq M(y_n,\,y_{n+1},\,t) \text{ for }n=1,\,2,\,...$

$$\begin{split} M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) &\geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, \frac{t}{k}) \\ &\geq M(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}) \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\geq M(y_0, y_1, \frac{t}{k^p}) \to 1 \text{ as } p \to \infty \text{, and hence } M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty \text{ for any } t > 0. \end{split}$$

For each $\epsilon > 0$ and t > 0, we can choose $n_0 \in N$ such that

 $M(y_n,\,y_{n+1},\,t)>1-\epsilon \text{ for all }n>n_0.$

For any m, $n \in N$, we suppose $m \ge n$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} M(y_n, \, y_m, \, t) &\geq M(y_n, \, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{m-n}) \, * \, M(y_{n+1}, \, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{m-n}) \, * \, \dots \, * \, M(y_{m-1}, \, y_m, \frac{t}{m-n}) \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) \, * \, (1 - \epsilon) \, * \, \dots \, * \, (1 - \epsilon) \, (m - n) \text{ times} \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) \end{split}$$

and hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete $\{y_n\}$ converges to some point $z \in X$. And also subsequences of $\{y_n\}$, they also converges to the same point z i.e.,

 $\begin{aligned} \{Px_{2n+1}\} &\to z \quad \text{and} \ \{Qx_{2n}\} \to z \ \text{ as } n \to \infty. \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} \{ABx_{2n}\} &\to z \ \text{ and} \ \{STx_{2n+1}\} \to z \end{aligned}$

Swati Choursiya*/ A Common Fixed Point Theorem with Integral Type Inequality / IRJPA- 4(3), March-2014.

As (AB, Q) is compatible of type (α), we have M(ABABx_{2n}, QQx_{2n}, t) = 1 \forall t > 0.

ABz = Qz.

Step - 2. Put $x = Qx_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (3.1.4) we have

Taking $n \to \infty$, we get

 $\int_0^{M(ABz,z,kt)} \xi(v) dv \geq \int_0^{F(M(ABz,ABz,t),M(z,z,t),M(ABz,z,t),M(ABz,z,t),M(ABz,z,t))} \xi(v) dv$

$$\int_0^{M(ABz,z,kt)} \xi(v) dv \ge \int_0^{M(ABz,z,t)} \xi(v) dv$$

Since $\xi(v) dv$ is a lebesgue integrable function this implies

 $M(ABz,\,z,\,kt) \geq M(ABz,\,z,\,t)$

So by lemma **2.10**, we have ABz = z. Therefore ABz = Qz = z.

Step - 3. Put x = Bz and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (3.1.4) we have

 $\int_{0}^{M(ABBz,STx_{2n+1},kt)} \xi(v) dv \geq \int_{0}^{F(M(Px_{2n+1},QBz,t),M(ABBz,Px_{2n+1},t),M(STx_{2n+1},QBz,t),M(ABBz,QBz,t),M(STx_{2n+1},Px_{2n+1},t),K(v) dv \leq \int_{0}^{F(M(Px_{2n+1},QBz,t),M(ABBz,Px_{2n+1},t),M(STx_{2n+1},QBz,t),M(STx_{2n+1},Px_{2n+1},t),K(v) dv \leq \int_{0}^{F(M(Px_{2n+1},QBz,t),M(ABBz,Px_{2n+1},t),M(STx_{2n+1},QBz,t),M(ABBz,QBz,t),M(STx_{2n+1},Px_{2n+1},t),K(v) dv \leq \int_{0}^{F(M(Px_{2n+1},QBz,t),M(STx_$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

 $\int_0^{M(ABBz\,,z,kt)} \xi(v) dv \geq \int_0^{F(M(z,QBz\,,t),M(ABBz\,,z,t),M(z,QBz\,,t),M(ABBz\,,QBz\,,t),M(z,z,t),} \xi(v) dv$

Since AB = BA and QB = BQ

So AB(Bz) = BA(Bz) = B(ABz) = Bz

And QBz = BQz = Bz.

 $\int_{0}^{M(Bz,z,kt)} \xi(v) dv \geq \int_{0}^{F(M(z,Bz,t),M(Bz,z,t),M(z,Bz,t),M(Bz,Bz,t),M(z,z,t),} \xi(v) dv$

$$\int_0^{M(Bz,z,kt)} \xi(v) dv \ge \int_0^{M(Bz,z,t)} \xi(v) dv$$

Since $\xi(\mathbf{v})d\mathbf{v}$ is a lebesgue integrable function this implies

 $M(Bz, z, kt) \ge M(Bz, z, t)$

Then by lemma **2.10**, we have Bz = z.

So ABz = z which implies that Az = z.

Hence ABz = Az = Bz = Qz = z.

Step - 4. $AB(X) \subset P(X)$, then their exists $u \in X$ such that ABz = Pu = z.

Put x = z and y = u in (3.1.4) we have

$$\int_{0}^{M(ABz,STu,kt)} \xi(v) dv \geq \int_{0}^{F(M(Pu,Qz,t),M(ABz,Pu,t),M(STu,Qz,t),M(ABz,Qz,t),M(STu,Pu,t),} \xi(v) dv$$

$$\int_{0}^{M(z,STu,kt)} \xi(v) dv \ge \int_{0}^{F(M(z,z,t),M(z,z,t),M(STu,z,t),M(STu,z,t),M(STu,z,t),K(STu,z,t),K(STu,z,t),K(V)} \xi(v) dv$$

 $\int_0^{M(z,STu,kt)} \xi(v) dv \ge \int_0^{M(z,STu,t)} \xi(v) dv$

Since $\xi(v)dv$ is a lebesgue integrable function this implies

 $M(STu, z, kt) \ge M(STu, z, t)$

So by lemma **2.10**, we have STu = z. Therefore Pu = STu = z.

Step - 5. (ST, P) is weakly compatible, STPu = PSTu which implies STz = Pz.

Put x = z and y = z in (3.1.4) we have

 $\int_{0}^{M(ABz,STz,kt)} \xi(v) dv \ge \int_{0}^{F(M(Pz,Qz,t),M(ABz,Pz,t),M(STz,Qz,t),M(ABz,Qz,t),M(STz,Pz,t),} \xi(v) dv$

 $\int_{0}^{M(z,Pz,kt)} \xi(v) dv \ge \int_{0}^{F(M(Pz,z,t),M(z,Pz,t),M(Pz,z,t),M(z,z,t),M(Pz,Pz,t),} \xi(v) dv$

$$\int_0^{M(z,Pz,kt)} \xi(v) dv \ge \int_0^{M(z,Pz,t)} \xi(v) dv$$

Since $\xi(v)dv$ is a lebesgue integrable function this implies

 $M(Pz, \, z, \, kt) \geq M(Pz, \, z, \, t)$

So by lemma **2.10**, we have Pz = z. So STz = Pz = z.

Step - 6. Put x = z and y = Tz in (3.1.4) we have

 $\int_{0}^{M(ABz,STTz,kt)} \xi(v) dv \ge \int_{0}^{F(M(PTz,Qz,t),M(ABz,PTz,t),M(STTz,Qz,t),M(ABz,Qz,t),M(STTz,PTz,t),} \xi(v) dv$

 $\int_0^{M(z,STTz\,,kt)} \xi(v) dv \geq \ \int_0^{F(M(PTz,z,t),M(z,PTz\,,t),M(STTz\,,z,t),M(STTz\,,PTz\,,t),} \xi(v) dv$

Since ST = TS, PT = TP, so we have

PTz = TPz = Tz

And ST(Tz) = TS(Tz) = T(STz) = Tz.

$$\int_0^{M(z,Tz,kt)} \xi(v) dv \ge \int_0^{M(z,Tz,t)} \xi(v) dv$$

Since $\xi(v)dv$ is a lebesgue integrable function this implies $M(Tz, z, kt) \ge M(Tz, z, t)$

So by lemma **2.10**, we have Tz = z. So STz = Sz = z.

Hence STz = Sz = Tz = Pz = z.

On combining we get ABz = Az = Bz = Qz = STz = Sz = Tz = Pz = z. Hence z, is the common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q.

Uniqueness: Let w be the another common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q, then

Step 7. Put x = z and y = w in (3.1.4) we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{M(ABz,STwkt)} \xi(v) dv \geq \int_{0}^{F(M(Pw,Qz,t),M(ABz,Pw,t),M(STw,Qz,t),M(ABz,Qz,t),M(STw,Pw,t),} \xi(v) dv \\ &\int_{0}^{M(z,wkt)} \xi(v) dv \geq \int_{0}^{F(M(w,z,t),M(z,w,t),M(w,z,t),M(z,z,t),M(w,w,t),} \xi(v) dv \\ &\int_{0}^{M(z,w,kt)} \xi(v) dv \geq \int_{0}^{M(z,w,t)} \xi(v) dv \end{split}$$

© 2014, RJPA. All Rights Reserved

Since $\xi(v) dv$ is a lebesgue integrable function this implies

 $M(w, z, kt) \ge M(w, z, t)$

So by lemma **2.10**, we have w = z.

Hence z, is unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q.

If we take B = T = I (Identity mapping) in Theorem 2.1 then we get the following result.

Corollary: Let P, Q, A and S be self maps of a complete fuzzy metric space from X into itself such that

- (a) $A(X) \subset P(X), S(X) \subset Q(X),$
- (b) (A, Q) is compatible of type (β) and (S, P) is weakly compatible

 $(2.1.4) \int_{0}^{M(Bx,Sy,kt)} \xi(v) dv \geq \int_{0}^{F(M(Py,Qx,t),M(Ax,Py,t),M(Sy,Qx,t),M(Ax,Qx,t),M(Sy,Py,t))} \xi(v) dv \text{ for all } x, y \in X, k \in (0, 1), t > 0.$

where $\xi : [0, +\infty] \to [0, +\infty]$ is a lebgesgue integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, +\infty]$ non negative and such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^{\varepsilon} \xi(v) dv > 0$. Then A, S, P and Q have a unique common fixed point in X.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is helpful to Dr. Kiran Sisodiya, Professor, School of Engineering Ujjain and Dr. Pradeep Paliwal, Asst. Prof., Oriental University, Indore and Dr. Akhilesh Paria Asst. Prof. Rishiraj Institute of Science and Technology, Indore for valuable suggestions during the work.

REFERENCES

- 1. Altun, A., Turkoglu, D. and Rhoades, B. E., Fixed points of weakly compatible maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Article ID 17301, (2007), 9 pages.
- 2. Branciari, A., A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, International J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 29, (2002), 531-536.
- 3. Cho, Y. J., Fixed point in Fuzzy metric space, J. Fuzzy Math. 5, (1997), 949-962.
- 4. Cho, Y. J., Pathak, H.K., Kang, S.M. and Jung, J.S., Common fixed points of compatible mappings of type (β) on fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 93, (1998), 99-111.
- 5. George, A. and Veeramani, P., On some results in Fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64, (1994), 395-399.
- 6. Grebiec, M., Fixed points in Fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27, (1998), 85-389.
- Jungck, G. and Rhoades, B. E., Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 29, (1998), 227-238.
- 8. Jungck, G. Murthy, P.P. and Cho, Y.J., Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points, Math. Japonica, 38, (1993), 381-390.
- 9. Kramosil, O. and Michalek, J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetka, 11, (1975), 326-334.
- Rangamma, M. and Padma, A., Common fixed point theorem for compatible maps using implicit relations in fuzzy metric space, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research Vol. 3, Issue 2, june (2013), 133-146.
- 11. Rhoades, B. E., Two fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, International J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 63, (2003), 4007 4013.
- 12. Sedghi, S., Shobe, N., and Aliouche, A., Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces through conditions of integral type, Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 17(3), (2009), 687-698.
- 13. Singh, B., and Chouhan, M.S., Common fixed points of compatible maps in Fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 115, (2000), 471-475.
- 14. Singh, B. and Jain, S., Weak compatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Ganita, 56(2), (2005), 167-176.
- 15. Suzuki, T., Meir-Keeler contractions of integral type are still Meir-Keeler contractions, International J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Article ID 39281, (2007), 6 pages.
- Vijayaraju, P., Rhoades, B. E. and Mohanraj, R., A fixed point theorem for a pair of maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, International J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 15, (2005), 2359-2364.
- 17. Zadeh, L.A., Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control 8, (1965), 338-353.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared