International Research Journal of Pure Algebra -4(1), 2014, 375-378 Available online through www.rjpa.info

COMMUTATIVITY OF ALTERNATIVE PERIODIC RINGS WITH $(xy)^{n+1} - (yx)^{n+1} \in Z(R)$

Y. S. Kalyan Chakravarthy* & K. Suvarna

Department of Mathematics, S. K. University, Ananthapuramu – 515001, India.

(Received on: 30-12-13; Revised & Accepted on: 16-01-14)

ABSTRACT

Let R be an Alternative periodic ring. In this paper, we show that an n-torsion free alternative ring R with identity satisfying $x^n y^n = y^n x^n$ and $(xy)^{n+1} - y^{n+1}x^{n+1} \in Z(R)$ is commutative. We also prove that if R is an n-torsion free alternative periodic ring suchthat $(xy)^{n+1} - (yx)^{n+1} \in Z(R)$, n is a fixed positive integer and N(R) is commutative, then R is commutative.

AMS Mathematics subject classification: 17.

Key words: Alternative rings, Periodic rings, Center.

INTRODUCTION

Abu-Khuzam, Bell and Yaqub [2] proved that an *n*-torsion free ring *R* with identity such that, for all x, y in $R, x^n y^n = y^n x^n$ and $(xy)^{n+1} - x^{n+1}y^{n+1} \in Z(R)$ must be commutative. They also have shown that a periodic *n*-torison free ring (not necessarily with identity) for which $(xy)^n - (yx)^n \in Z(R)$ is commutative provided that the nilpotents of *R* form a commutative set. In this paper, we show that an *n*-torsion free alternative ring *R* with identity satisfying $x^n y^n = y^n x^n$ and $(xy)^{n+1} - y^{n+1}x^{n+1} \in Z(R)$ is commutative. We also prove that if *R* is an *n*-torsion free alternative periodic ring suchthat $(xy)^{n+1} - (yx)^{n+1} \in Z(R)$, *n* is a fixed positive integer and N(R) is commutative, then R is commutative.

PRELIMINARIESA

Throughout this paper, R denotes an alternative periodic ring, N(R) denotes the set of all nilpotent elements of R, Z(R) the center of R, C(R) the commutator ideal of R.

In order to prove our main results, we state the following well-known results.

Lemma: 1[6] Suppose that *R* is a ring with identity 1. If $x^m[x, y] = 0$ and $(x + 1)^m[x, y] = 0$, for some *x*, *y* in *R* and some integer m>0, then [x, y] = 0. A similar statement holds if we assume $[x, y]x^m = 0$ and $[x, y](x + 1)^m = 0$ instead.

Lemma: 2[4] Let *R* be an *n*-torsion free ring with identity 1 such that $[x^n, y^n] = 0$ for all *x*, *y* in *R*. Let *N*(*R*) denote the set of nilpotent elements of *R*. Then

(i) $a \in N(R), x \in R \text{ imply } [a, x^n] = 0,$ (ii) $a \in N(R), b \in N(R) \text{ imply } [a, b] = 0.$

Lemma: 3 Let *R* be a periodic ring such that N(R) is commutative. Then the commutator ideal of *R* is nil and N(R) forms an ideal of *R*.

Proof: Let N(R) denote the set of nilpotent elements of *R* and we use the standard argument for the commutative case to show that $u_1 - u_2 \in N(R)$, whenever $u_1, u_2 \in N(R)$.

We prove this by induction on k. That is, if $u_k = 0$ and $r \in R$ then it is true that $(ur)^k = (ru)^k = 0$.

Consider the case k = 2.

Y. S. Kalyan Chakravarthy* & K. Suvarna / Commutativity of Alternative Periodic rings with $(xy)^{n+1} - (yx)^{n+1} \in Z(R) / IRJPA- 4(1)$, Jan.-2014.

Let *u* be an element of R such that $u^2 = 0$ and let *j* be a positive integer for which $(ur)^j = e$ is idempotent (possibly zero). Then re - ere is nilpotent and hence commutes with *u*.

That is,
$$ur(ur)^{j} - u(ur)^{j}r(ur)^{j} = r(ur)^{j}u - (ur)^{j}r(ur)^{j}u.$$
 (1)

and multiplying on the right of (1) by u gives $ur(ur)^{j}u = 0$.

So that $(ur)^{j+2} = (ru)^{j+2} = 0$. It follows that u commutes with both ur and ru.

So $(ur)^2 = (ru)^2 = 0$. Now suppose that the result holds for all y with $y^m = 0, m < k$.

Suppose $u^k = 0, k \ge 3$. Determining j as above and multiplying (1) by r on the left and u on the right, we get

$$(ru)^{j+2} = ru^2 s + tu^2 \tag{2}$$

where s and t are elements of the subring generated by r and u. Since $(u^2)^{k-1} = 0$, the inductive hypothesis implies both ru^2s and tu^2 are nilpotent. Therefore (2) shows that ru and ur are nilpotent. Again invoking the fact that u must commute with ur and ru, we see that $(ur)^k = (ru)^k = 0$. Hence N(R) is an ideal.

MAIN RESULTS

Theorem: 1 Let R be an alternative with identity and n be a fixed positive integer. Suppose that R is n-torsion free and that for all x, y in R,

$$x^n y^n = y^n x^n, (3)$$

and
$$(xy)^{n+1} - y^{n+1}x^{n+1} \in Z(R).$$
 (4)

Then *R* is commutative.

Proof: By (3), we have $[x^n, y^n] = 0$ for all x, y in R and by [5], the commutator ideal is nil. This implies that the set of nilpotent elements N(R) forms an ideal.

Hence by Lemma 2(ii), N(R) is a commutative ideal.

This implies that
$$N^2(R) \subseteq Z(R)$$
. (5)

By (4), we have $((a+1)b)^{n+1} - b^{n+1}(a+1)^{n+1} \in Z(R),$ (6)

and
$$(b(a+1))^{n+1} - (a+1)^{n+1}b^{n+1} \in Z(R).$$
 (7)

By adding (6) and (7) and using the fact that $N^2(R) \subseteq Z(R)$, we get

$$ab^{n+1} + b^{n+1}a - (n+1)b^{n+1}a - (n+1)ab^{n+1} \in Z(R).$$

That is, $ab^{n+1} + b^{n+1}a - nb^{n+1}a - b^{n+1}a - nab^{n+1} - ab^{n+1} \in Z(R)$.

So,
$$-n[a, b^{n+1}] \in Z(R)$$
.

That is, $n[a, b^{n+1}] \in Z(R)$.

Since *R* is *n*-torsion free, we get

$$[a, b^{n+1}] \in Z(R), \text{ where } a \text{ in } N(R), b \text{ in } R.$$
(8)

Therefore $[a, b^{n+1}] = [a, b]b^n + b[a, b^n] \in Z(R).$ (9)

But by Lemma 2(i), $[a, b^n] = 0$ and hence by (6), we get

 $[a,b]b^n \in Z(R). \tag{10}$

Y. S. Kalyan Chakravarthy* & K. Suvarna / Commutativity of Alternative Periodic rings with $(xy)^{n+1} - (yx)^{n+1} \in Z(R) / IRJPA- 4(1)$, Jan.-2014.

Thus $[[a, b]b^n, b] = 0 = [[a, b], b]b^n$. By replacing b by b + 1 in the above argument and using Lemma 1, we see that

$$[[a, b], b] = 0, a \text{ in } N(R), b \text{ in } R.$$
(11)

By using Lemma 2(i), (10) and Lemma 1, we get

$$0 = [a, b^n] = nb^{n-1}[a, b].$$

Since *R* is *n*-torsion free, we conclude that $b^{n-1}[a, b] = 0$.

By replacing b by b + 1 in (12) and using Lemma 1, we get [a, b] = 0, for all a in N(R), b in R. Thus the nilpotent elements are central and hence

$$[x, y] \in Z(R)$$
, for all x, y in R .

By using (13) and Lemma 1, we get $0 = [x^n, y^n] = nx^{n-1}[x, y^n]$. By using Lemma 1 and *n*-torsion free condition, we get[x, y^n] = 0, for all x, y in R.]

Similarly, $0 = [x, y^n] = ny^{n-1}[x, y]$. This shows that [x, y] = 0, for all x, y in R.

Theorem: 4.1.2 Let *n* be a fixed positive integer and *R* be an *n*-torsion free alternative periodic ring with identity such that $(xy)^{n+1} - (yx)^{n+1} \in Z(R)$, for all *x*, *y* in *R*. (14)

If N(R) is commutative, then R is commutative.

Proof: By Lemma 3, N(R) is an ideal of R. Also since N(R) is commutative, $N^2(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. Let a in N(R), b in R and replacing x = (1 + a)b and $y = (1 + a)^{-1}$ in (14), we get

$$((1+a)b(1+a)^{-1})^{n+1} - ((1+a)^{-1}(1+a)b)^{n+1} \in Z(R).$$

That is, $((1+a)b(1+a)^{-1})^{n+1} - b^{n+1} \in Z(R).$
So, $(1+a)b^{n+1}(1+a)^{-1} - b^{n+1} \in Z(R).$
Hence $[(1+a)b^{n+1}(1+a)^{-1} - b^{n+1}](1+a) = (1+a)[(1+a)b^{n+1}(1+a)^{-1} - b^{n+1}]$
So, $(1+a)b^{n+1} - b^{n+1}(1+a) = (1+a)[(1+a)b^{n+1}(1+a)^{-1} - b^{n+1}].$
That is, $ab^{n+1} - b^{n+1}a = (1+a)[(1+a)b^{n+1}(1+a)^{-1} - b^{n+1}].$ (16)

Since N(R) is a commutator ideal, $(1 + a)(ab^{n+1} - b^{n+1}a) = ab^{n+1} - b^{n+1}a$.

By using (16), we get

 $(1+a)(ab^{n+1}-b^{n+1}a) = (1+a)[(1+a)b^{n+1}(1+a)^{-1}-b^{n+1}].$ Further since a in N(R), 1+a is a unit in R and thus $(ab^{n+1}-b^{n+1}a) = [(1+a)b^{n+1}(1+a)^{-1}-b^{n+1}].$

Thus $[a, b^{n+1}] \in Z(R)$, for all a in N(R) and b in R.

(17)

(12)

(13)

Now suppose that $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in R$. Since R/Z(R) is commutativite,

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)^{n+1} - x_1^{n+1}, x_2^{n+1}, \dots, x_k^{n+1} \in Z(R) \subseteq N(R)$$
. Since $N(R)$ is commutative, hence

$$[a, (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)^{n+1}] = [a, x_1^{n+1}, x_2^{n+1}, \dots, x_k^{n+1}], \text{ for a in } N(R).$$
(18)

By using (17) and (18), we conclude that

$$[a, x_1^{n+1} . x_2^{n+1} x_k^{n+1}] \in Z(R), \text{ for } a \text{ in } N(R), x_1, x_2,, x_k \in R.$$
(19)

© 2014, RJPA. All Rights Reserved

Y. S. Kalyan Chakravarthy* & K. Suvarna / Commutativity of Alternative Periodic rings with $(xy)^{n+1} - (yx)^{n+1} \in Z(R) / IRJPA- 4(1)$, Jan.-2014.

Let S be the subring of R generated by the $(n + 1)^{th}$ powers of elements of R, then by using (19), we get $[a, x] \in Z(S)$, for all a in N(S), x in S. (20)

Here Z(S) and N(S) denote the center of S and the set of nilpotents of S respectively.

Using the fact that S is periodic, N(S) is commutative and using (19), Theorem of [1] shows that S is commutative.

Hence $[x^n, y^n] = 0$, for all x, y in R.

Since *R* is n-torsion free ring with identity satisfying (21) and the hypothesis $(xy)^{n+1} - (yx)^{n+1}$ is always central. Hence by Theorem 1[3], *R* is commutative.

We give certain examples which show that all the hypothesis of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are essential.

Example: 1 Let $R = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$: $a, b, c, d \in GF(4) \end{cases}$, and let n = 5. Then R satisfies all the hypothesis of Theorem 2 except that R in not n torsion free capacity. Hence the hypothesis R in n torsion free capacity has R.

2 except that R in not *n*-torsion free. Also R is not commutative. Hence the hypothesis R in *n*-torsion free cannot be omitted in Theorem 2.

Example: 2 Let $R = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$: $a, b, c, d \in GF(2) \end{cases}$, and let n = 2. This ring shows that the condition *n*-torsion free cannot be omit

REFERENCES

[1] Abu-Khuzam, H, "A commutativity theorem for periodic rings", Math. Japon., 32(1987), pp.1-3.

[2] Abu-Khuzam, H., Bell, H.E. and Yaqub, A., "Commutativity of rings satisfying certain polynomial identities", Bull. Austral. Math. Sco., Vol. 44(1991), pp.63-68.

[3] Abu-Khuzam, H., Tominaga, H. and Yaqub, A., "Commutativity theorems for s-unital rings satisfying polynomial identities", Math. J. Okayama Univ., 22(1990), pp.111-114.

[4] Bell, H.E., "On rings with commutating powers", Math. Japon., 24(1979), pp.473-478.

[5] Herstein, I.N., "A commutativity theorem", J. Algerbra., 38(1976), pp.112-118.

[6] Nicholson, W.K. and Yaqub, A., "A commutativity theorem", Algebra Universalis., 10(1980), pp.260-263.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

(21)