

**A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR FOUR SELF MAPS ON A
PROBABILISTIC METRIC SPACE UNDER DNR COMMUTATIVITY CONDITION
USING IMPLICIT RELATION**

K. P. R. Sastry¹, G. A. Naidu², D. Narayana Rao^{3*} and S. S. A. Sastri⁴

¹8-28-8/1, Tamil Street, Chinna Waltair, Visakhapatnam- 530017, India

^{2,3}Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530 003, India

⁴Department of Mathematics, GVP College of Engineering, Madhurawada,
Visakhapatnam- 530048, India

(Received on: 02-07-12; Revised & Accepted on: 11-10-12)

ABSTRACT

The aim of present paper is to obtain a common fixed point theorem for four self mappings on a probabilistic metric space by using DNR-commutativity in probabilistic metric spaces satisfying implicit relations.

AMS Mathematical subject classification (2000): 47H10, 54H25

Key Words: probabilistic metric space, reciprocally continuous, DNR-commuting, implicit relation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1942, K. Menger [5] introduced the notion of a probabilistic metric space (briefly PM-space) as a generalization of metric space. The development of the theory of probabilistic metric spaces is due to Schweizer and Sklar [11]. Sehgal [12] initiated study of fixed point theory in PM space contraction mapping theorems in PM-spaces.

Generalization of the notion of commutativity of mappings has been extended to PM-spaces by various authors. Singh and Pant [15] extended the notion of weak commutativity (introduced by Sessa [13] in metric spaces). Mishra [7] extended the notion of compatibility (introduced by Jungck [2] in metric spaces). Ćirić and Milovanović –Arandjelović [1] extended the notion of point wise R-weak commutativity (introduced by Pant [8] in metric spaces). In 2007, Kohli, Vasista [3] extended the notion of R-weak commutativity and its variants to probabilistic metric spaces.

In 2012, Shikha Chaudhari [14] established the existence of a common fixed point for six mappings in PM-spaces satisfying implicit relation and variants of R-weak commutativity.

Recently K.P.R. Sastry. et.al [10] introduced the notion DNR-functions and DNR -commutativity as a generalization of R-weak commutativity.

In this paper we use DNR-commutativity instead of R-weak commutativity in [14] for four mappings and latter extended for six mappings.

In this paper we establish a common fixed point theorem for four self maps on a Menger space, satisfying DNR-commutativity property.

This result is also extended to six self maps.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper, \mathbb{R} stands for the real line and \mathbb{R}^+ stands for the set of non negative real numbers. We begin with some definitions.

***Corresponding author: D. Narayana Rao^{3*},**

³Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530 003, India

Definition 2.1: [11] A mapping $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is called a distribution function if it is non-decreasing and left continuous with $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t) = 0$ and $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t) = 1$.

We shall denote by \mathfrak{D} , the class of all distribution functions.

The Heaviside function H is a distribution function defined by $H(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } t > 0 \end{cases}$

Definition 2.2: [11] Let X be a non empty set and let \mathfrak{D} denote the set of all distribution functions. An ordered pair (X, F) is called a probabilistic metric space if F is a mapping from $X \times X \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) $F_{u,v}(t) = H(t)$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (2) $F_{x,y}(0) = 0$
- (3) $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$
- (4) If $F_{x,y}(t) = 1$ and $F_{y,z}(s) = 1$ then $F_{x,z}(t+s) = 1$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$.

Definition 2.3: [11] A t-norm is a function $t: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) $t(a, 1) = a \quad \forall a \in [0,1]$
- (2) $t(a, b) = t(b, a)$
- (3) $t(c, d) \geq t(a, b)$ for $c \geq a$ and $d \geq b$
- (4) $t(t(a, b), c) = t(a, t(b, c)) \quad \forall a, b, c \in [0,1]$

Examples of t-norms are $t(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$, $t(a, b) = ab$ and $t(a, b) = \min\{a + b - 1, 0\}$.

Definition 2.4: [11] A Menger probabilistic metric space (X, F, t) is an ordered triad, where t is a t-norm and (X, F) is a probabilistic metric space satisfying:

$$F_{x,z}(t+s) \geq t(F_{x,y}(t), F_{y,z}(s)) \quad \forall t, s \geq 0 \text{ and } x, y, z \in X.$$

Definition 2.5: [11] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, F, t) is said to converge to $x \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ there exists a positive integer $N(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that $F_{x_n, x}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $n \geq N(\varepsilon, \lambda)$.

Definition 2.6: [11] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, F, t) is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ there exists a positive integer $N(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that $F_{x_m, x_n}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $m, n > N(\varepsilon, \lambda)$.

Definition 2.7: [11] A Menger space (X, F, t) with continuous t-norm, is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Definition 2.8: [14] Two self mappings f and g of a probabilistic metric space (X, F) are said to be weakly commuting if $F_{fgx, gfx}(t) \geq F_{fx, gx}(t)$ for each $x \in X$ and $t > 0$

Definition 2.9: [7] Two self mappings f and g of a probabilistic metric space (X, F) will be compatible if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{fgx_n, gfx_n}(t) = 1 \quad \forall t > 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 2.10: [1] Two self mappings f and g of a probabilistic metric space (X, F) are said to be point wise R-weakly commuting if given $x \in X$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $F_{fgx, gfx}(t) \geq F_{fx, gx}\left(\frac{t}{R}\right)$ for $t \geq 0$.

Definition 2.11: [14] Two self mappings f and g of a probabilistic metric space (X, F) are said to be reciprocally continuous if $fgx_n \rightarrow fz$ and $gfx_n \rightarrow gz$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $fx_n, gx_n \rightarrow z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 2.12: [3] Two self mappings f and g of a probabilistic metric space (X, F) are said to be

- (I) R-weakly commuting of type (i) if there exists a positive real number R such that

$$F_{ffx, gfx}(t) \geq F_{fx, gx}\left(\frac{t}{R}\right) \text{ for each } x \in X \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

- (II) R-weakly commuting of type (ii) if there exists a positive real number R such that

$$F_{fgx, ggx}(t) \geq F_{fx, gx}\left(\frac{t}{R}\right) \text{ for each } x \in X \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

(III) R-weakly commuting of type (iii) if there exists a positive real number R such that

$$F_{ffx,ggx}(t) \geq F_{fx,gx}\left(\frac{t}{R}\right) \text{ for each } x \in X \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

Lemma 2.13: [4] Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in a Menger space (X, F, t) . If there exists a constant $h \in (0,1)$ such that $F_{u_n, u_{n+1}}(ht) \geq F_{u_{n-1}, u_n}(t)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

3. IMPLICIT RELATIONS

In [6] Mihet established a fixed point theorem concerning probabilistic contraction satisfying an implicit relation. In [9] Popa used the family F_4 of implicit real functions to find the fixed point of two pairs of semi compatible mappings in a d-compatible topological space. Here F_4 denotes the family of all real continuous functions $f: (\mathbb{R})^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties.

(F_K) there exists $k \geq 1$ such that for every $u \geq 0, v \geq 0$ with $f(u, v, u, v) \geq 0$ (or) $f(u, v, v, u) \geq 0$ we have $u \geq kv$

(F_u) $f(u, v, 0, 0) < 0$ for all $u > 0$.

We denote by Φ the class of all real valued continuous functions $\varphi: (\mathbb{R}^+)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, non decreasing in first argument and satisfying

(i) for all $u, v \geq 0$, $\varphi(u, v, u, v) \geq 0$ (or) $\varphi(u, v, v, u) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u \geq v$ (3.1)

(ii) $\varphi(u, u, 1, 1) \geq 0$ for all $u \geq 1$ (3.2)

4. MAIN RESULTS

Kohli, Vashistha and Kumar [4] proved the following lemma for six mappings.

Lemma 4.1 (Lemma 4.2, Kohli, Vashistha and Kumar [4]): Let (X, F, t) be a complete Menger space where T denotes a continuous t-norm. Further, let (p, hk) and (q, fg) be pointwise R-weakly commuting pairs of self-mappings of X satisfying

$$p(X) \subset fg(X), q(X) \subset hk(X) \quad (4.1.1)$$

$$\varphi(F_{px,qy}(\alpha t), F_{hxx,fgy}(t), F_{px,hxx}(t), F_{qy,fgy}(\alpha t)) \geq 0 \quad (4.1.2)$$

$$\varphi(F_{px,qy}(\alpha t), F_{hxx,fgy}(t), F_{px,hxx}(\alpha t), F_{qy,fgy}(t)) \geq 0 \quad (4.1.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0, \alpha \in (0,1)$ and for some $\varphi \in \Phi$.

Then the continuity of one of the mappings in the compatible pairs (p, hk) or (q, fg) on (X, F, T) implies their reciprocal continuity.

Shikha Chaudhari [14] proved the lemma by assuming (p, hk) and (q, fg) to be R-weakly commuting mappings of type (i), type (ii) and type (iii) respectively.

Now we prove an analogue of the above Lemma 4.1 for four maps.

Lemma 4.2: Let (X, F, t) be a complete Menger space where t denotes a continuous t-norm. Further, let A, S, B and T be self mappings on X satisfying

$$A(X) \subset T(X), B(X) \subset S(X) \quad (4.2.1)$$

$$\varphi(F_{Ax,By}(ht), F_{Sx,Ty}(t), F_{Ax,Sx}(t), F_{By,Ty}(ht)) \geq 0 \quad (4.2.2)$$

$$\varphi(F_{Ax,By}(ht), F_{Sx,Ty}(t), F_{Ax,Sx}(ht), F_{By,Ty}(t)) \geq 0 \quad (4.2.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0, h \in (0,1)$ and for some $\varphi \in \Phi$.

Moreover A and S are commuting and B and T are commuting. If

(i) S is continuous then (A, S) is reciprocally continuous.

(ii) T is continuous then (B, T) is reciprocally continuous.

Proof: We prove (i). The proof of (ii) is similar. Suppose A and S commute, so that A and S are compatible. Suppose S is continuous.

We shall show that A and S are reciprocally continuous.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $Ax_n \rightarrow z$ and $Sx_n \rightarrow z$ for some $z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since S is continuous $SAx_n \rightarrow Sz$, $SSx_n \rightarrow Sz$.

We show that $ASx_n \rightarrow Az$.

In view of compatibility of (A, S) , we have $F_{ASx_n, SAx_n}(t) \rightarrow 1$.

i.e. $F_{ASx_n, Sz}(t) \rightarrow 1$

i.e. $ASx_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In view of (4.2.1) for each n , there exists $y_n \in X$ such that $ASx_n = Ty_n$.

So $SSx_n \rightarrow Sz$, $SAx_n \rightarrow Sz$, $ASx_n \rightarrow Sz$ and $Ty_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (4.2.4)

Next we claim that $By_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By putting $x = Sx_n$ and $y = y_n$ in (4.2.2), we get

$$\varphi(F_{ASx_n, By_n}(ht), F_{SSx_n, Ty_n}(t), F_{ASx_n, SSx_n}(t), F_{By_n, Ty_n}(ht)) \geq 0$$

Since φ is continuous, by (4.2.4), we have

$$\varphi(F_{Sz, By_n}(ht), 1, 1, F_{By_n, Sz}(ht)) \geq 0$$

i.e. $F_{Sz, By_n}(ht) \geq 1$ (from (3.1))

$$\therefore F_{Sz, By_n}(ht) = 1$$

i.e. $By_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Again putting $x = z$ and $y = y_n$ in (4.2.3), we get

$$\varphi(F_{Az, By_n}(ht), F_{Sz, Ty_n}(t), F_{Az, Sz}(ht), F_{By_n, Ty_n}(t)) \geq 0$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\varphi(F_{Az, Sz}(ht), F_{Sz, Sz}(t), F_{Az, Sz}(ht), F_{Sz, Sz}(t)) \geq 0$$

i.e. $\varphi(F_{Az, Sz}(ht), 1, F_{Az, Sz}(ht), 1) \geq 0$

By (3.1), we get $F_{Az, Sz}(ht) \geq 1$

$$\therefore Az = Sz$$

Hence $ASx_n \rightarrow Az$.

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Now we introduce the notion of a DNR-function and DNR-commuting property.

Definition 4.3: [10] A function $\psi: X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a DNR function if

$\psi(x, t) > 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Ψ denotes the class of all DNR functions ψ .

Example 4.4: [10] Let $X = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ with the metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ and define

$$F_{x,y}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq x \\ 1 & \text{if } t > y \\ \frac{t-x}{y-x} & \text{if } x < t \leq y \end{cases} \quad \text{for } x < y.$$

Clearly (X, F) is a PM-space. Define $\psi(x, t) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } t \leq x \\ \frac{t-1}{x} & \text{if } t > x \end{cases} \quad \text{for } x \in [2, \infty)$

Then ψ is a DNR function.

Definition 4.5: [10] Suppose A and S are self maps on a PM-space (X, F) . We say that the pair (A, S) is DNR-commuting if $z \in X$ and $t > 0 \Rightarrow$ there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that $F_{ASz, SAz}(t) \geq F_{Az, Sz}(\psi(z, t))$.

Note 1: If A and S are point wise R-weakly commuting self maps on a PM- space X , then A and S are DNR-commuting.

Note 2: If A and S are DNR-commuting self maps on a PM-space X , then A and S are compatible.

Theorem 4.6: Let (X, F, t) be a complete Menger space where t denotes a continuous t-norm. Further, let (A, S) and (B, T) be DNR-commuting pairs of self mappings on X satisfying

$$A(X) \subset T(X), B(X) \subset S(X) \quad (4.6.1)$$

$$\varphi(F_{Ax, By}(ht), F_{Sx, Ty}(t), F_{Ax, Sx}(t), F_{By, Ty}(ht)) \geq 0 \quad (4.6.2)$$

$$\varphi(F_{Ax, By}(ht), F_{Sx, Ty}(t), F_{Ax, Sx}(ht), F_{By, Ty}(t)) \geq 0 \quad (4.6.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0, h \in (0, 1)$ and for some $\varphi \in \Phi$.

Moreover S commutes with A and T commutes with B . Further suppose that S and T are continuous. Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let $u_0 \in X$. By (4.6.1), we define the sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ in X such that for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$v_{2n+1} = Au_{2n} = Tu_{2n+1},$$

$$v_{2n+2} = Bu_{2n+1} = Su_{2n+2}$$

Now by putting $x = u_{2n}, y = u_{2n+1}$ in (4.6.2), we get

$$\varphi(F_{Au_{2n}, Bu_{2n+1}}(ht), F_{Su_{2n}, Tu_{2n+1}}(t), F_{Au_{2n}, Su_{2n}}(t), F_{Bu_{2n+1}, Tu_{2n+1}}(ht)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(F_{v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}}(ht), F_{v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}}(t), F_{v_{2n+1}, v_{2n}}(t), F_{v_{2n+2}, v_{2n+1}}(ht)) \geq 0$$

Using (3.1), we get

$$F_{v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}}(ht) \geq F_{v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}}(t)$$

Now by putting $x = u_{2n+2}, y = u_{2n+1}$ in (4.6.2), we get

$$\varphi(F_{Au_{2n+2}, Bu_{2n+1}}(ht), F_{Su_{2n+2}, Tu_{2n+1}}(t), F_{Au_{2n+2}, Su_{2n+2}}(t), F_{Bu_{2n+1}, Tu_{2n+1}}(ht)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(F_{v_{2n+3}, v_{2n+2}}(ht), F_{v_{2n+2}, v_{2n+1}}(t), F_{v_{2n+3}, v_{2n+2}}(t), F_{v_{2n+2}, v_{2n+1}}(ht)) \geq 0$$

Using (3.1), we get

$$F_{v_{2n+3}, v_{2n+2}}(ht) \geq F_{v_{2n+2}, v_{2n+1}}(t)$$

Thus for any n and t , we have $F_{v_n, v_{n+1}}(ht) \geq F_{v_{n-1}, v_n}(t)$.

Hence by Lemma 2.13, $\{v_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Since X is complete $\{v_n\}$ converges to z .

\therefore Its subsequences $\{Au_{2n}\}, \{Bu_{2n+1}\}, \{Su_{2n}\}$ and $\{Tu_{2n+1}\}$ also converge to z .

Now, suppose that (A, S) is a compatible pair and S is continuous. Then by Lemma 4.2, A and S are reciprocally continuous.

Then $ASu_{2n} \rightarrow Az$ and $SAu_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$.

Compatibility of A and S gives $F_{ASu_{2n}, SAu_{2n}}(t) \rightarrow 1$.

i.e. $F_{Az, Sz}(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence $Az = Sz$.

Since $A(X) \subset S(X)$, there exists a point u in X such that $Az = Tu$.

Now by putting $x = z, y = u$ in (4.6.2), we get

$$\varphi(F_{Az, Bu}(ht), F_{Sz, Tu}(t), F_{Az, Sz}(t), F_{Bu, Tu}(ht)) \geq 0$$

$$\text{i.e. } \varphi(F_{Az, Bu}(ht), 1, 1, F_{Bu, Az}(ht)) \geq 0$$

Using (3.1), we get $F_{Az, Bu}(ht) \geq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$

$$\Rightarrow F_{Az, Bu}(ht) = 1$$

Hence $Az = Bu$.

Thus $Az = Sz = Bu = Tu$.

Since A and S are DNR-commuting, to the pair (z, t) corresponds a $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ASz, SAz}(t) &\geq F_{Az, Sz}(\psi(z, t)) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $ASz = SAz$ and $SAz = SSz = AAz = ASz$.

Since B and T are DNR-commuting, we have

$$BBu = BTu = TBu = TTu.$$

Again by putting $x = Az, y = u$ in (4.6.2), we get

$$\varphi(F_{AAz, Bu}(ht), F_{SAz, Tu}(t), F_{AAz, SAz}(t), F_{Bu, Tu}(ht)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(F_{AAz, Az}(ht), F_{AAz, Az}(t), 1, 1) \geq 0$$

Therefore $F_{AAz, Az}(ht) \geq 1$ for all $t > 0$, using (3.1)

$$\therefore F_{AAz, Az}(ht) = 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow AAz = Az \text{ and } Az = AAz = SAz.$$

$\therefore Az$ is a common fixed point of A and S .

By putting $x = z, y = Bu$ in (4.6.3), we get

$$\varphi \left(F_{Az, BBu}(ht), F_{Sz, TBu}(t), F_{Az, Sz}(ht), F_{BBu, TBu}(t) \right) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi \left(F_{Az, BAz}(ht), F_{Az, TAz}(t), 1, 1 \right) \geq 0$$

Since φ is non decreasing, using (3.1), we get

$$F_{Az, BAz}(t) \geq 1. (\because BAz = BTu = TBu = TAz)$$

$$\therefore Az = BAz = TAz.$$

Hence Az is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Clearly, Az is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Note: The theorem is valid if one of the mappings in the compatible pairs (A, S) and (B, T) is continuous instead of assuming that S and T are continuous. The proof is similar.

The following theorem is an extension to six mappings

Theorem 4.7: Let (X, F, t) be a complete Menger space, where t denotes a continuous t-norm. Suppose A, B, S, T, H and R are self maps on X such that (A, SH) and (B, TR) are DNR-commuting pairs of self mappings on X satisfying

$$A(X) \subset TR(X), B(X) \subset SH(X) \quad (4.7.1)$$

$$\varphi \left(F_{Ax, By}(\alpha t), F_{SHx, TRy}(t), F_{Ax, SHx}(t), F_{By, TRy}(\alpha t) \right) \geq 0 \quad (4.7.2)$$

$$\varphi \left(F_{Ax, By}(\alpha t), F_{SHx, TRy}(t), F_{Ax, SHx}(\alpha t), F_{By, TRy}(t) \right) \geq 0 \quad (4.7.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ and for some $\varphi \in \Phi$.

Moreover suppose H commutes with A and S and R commutes with B and T . Suppose that SH and TR are continuous. Then A, B, S, T, R and H have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Write $SH = P$ and $TR = Q$.

By hypothesis, P and Q are continuous.

Thus by Theorem 4.6, A, B, P and Q have a unique common fixed point z in X .

$$\text{i.e. } Az = Bz = Pz = Qz = z.$$

Take $x = Hz, y = z$ in (4.7.2). We get

$$\varphi \left(F_{AHz, Bz}(\alpha t), F_{SHH, TRz}(t), F_{AHz, SHH}(t), F_{Bz, TRz}(\alpha t) \right) \geq 0$$

$$\varphi \left(F_{HAz, z}(\alpha t), F_{HSH, Qz}(t), F_{HAz, HSH}(t), F_{z, Qz}(\alpha t) \right) \geq 0$$

$$\varphi \left(F_{Hz, z}(\alpha t), F_{Hz, z}(t), F_{Hz, Hz}(t), F_{z, z}(\alpha t) \right) \geq 0$$

$$\text{Hence } \varphi \left(F_{Hz, z}(\alpha t), F_{Hz, z}(t), 1, 1 \right) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow F_{Hz, z}(t) \geq 1 \text{ for every } t > 0 \quad (\because \varphi \text{ is non decreasing in its first co ordinate})$$

$$\Rightarrow Hz = z$$

$$\Rightarrow z \text{ is a fixed point of } H.$$

Now $z = Pz = SHz = Sz$.

$\therefore z$ is also a fixed point of S .

Now take $x = z$ and $y = Tz$ in (4.7.2). We get

$$\varphi \left(F_{Az, BTz}(\alpha t), F_{SHz, TRTz}(t), F_{Az, SHz}(t), F_{BTz, TRTz}(\alpha t) \right) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi \left(F_{z, TBz}(\alpha t), F_{z, TTRz}(t), F_{z, z}(t), F_{TBz, TTRz}(\alpha t) \right) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi \left(F_{z, Tz}(\alpha t), F_{z, Tz}(t), F_{z, z}(t), F_{Tz, Tz}(\alpha t) \right) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi \left(F_{z, Tz}(\alpha t), F_{z, Tz}(t), 1, 1 \right) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow F_{z, Tz}(t) \geq 1 \text{ for every } t > 0 \text{ (} \because \varphi \text{ is non decreasing in its first co ordinate)}$$

$$\Rightarrow Tz = z.$$

$\therefore z$ is also a fixed point of T

Now $z = Qz = TRz = RTz = Rz$.

$\therefore z$ is also a fixed point of R

Hence z is a common fixed point of A, B, S, T, R and H .

Suppose x is also a fixed point of A, B, S, T, R and H .

Then $Pz = SHz = S(Hz) = Sz = z$

and $Qz = TRz = T(Rz) = Tz = z$

Similarly $Qx = Px = x$. Thus x and z are common fixed points of A, B, P and Q .

Hence by Theorem 4.6, $z = x$.

Thus A, B, S, T, R and H have unique fixed point.

REFERENCES

1. Ciric. Lj. B and Milovanovic-Arandjelovic. M.M: Common fixed point theorem for R- weak commuting mappings in Menger spaces, J. Indian Acad. Math., 22, (2000), 199-210.
2. Jungck. G: Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., 9, (1986), 771- 779.
3. Kohli. J.K, Vashistha. S: Common fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces, Acta Math. Hungar 115 (1-2), (2007), 37-47.
4. Kohli. J.K, Vashistha. S and Kumar. D: A common fixed point theorem for six mappings in Probabilistic metric spaces satisfying contractive type implicit relation, Int. J. Math. Anal. 4(2), (2010), 63-74.
5. Menger. K: Statistical Metrics, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A, 28, (1942), 535-537.
6. Mihet. D: A generalization of a contraction principle in Probabilistic Spaces, Part II, Int. J. Math. Sci. , (2005), 729-736.
7. Mishra. S.N: Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM spaces, Math. Japon, (1991), 283-289.
8. Pant. R.P: A common fixed point theorem of non-commuting mappings, J. Math. Anal. 188, (1994), 436-440.
9. Popa. V: Fixed points for non-surjective expansion mappings, satisfying an implicit relation, Bul. Stiint. Univ. Baia Mare Ser. B Fasc. Mat-Inform, 18, (2002), 105-108.
10. Sastry. K.P.R, Naidu. G.A, Narayana Rao. D and Sastri S.S.A: A common fixed point theorem for self maps on a probabilistic metric space under DNR commutativity condition. Pre print.
11. Schweizer. B and Sklar. A: Statistical metric spaces, North Holland Amsterdam, (1983).
12. Sehgal. V.M: Some fixed point theorems in functional analysis and Probability, Ph.D. dissertation, Wayne State Univ. 1966.

13. Sessa. S: On a weak commutativity condition in fixed point considerations, Publ. Inst. Math., (Beograd) (N.S), 32 (46), (1982), 149- 153.
14. Shikha Chaudhari: A common fixed point theorem for six mappings in probabilistic metric spaces satisfying implicit relation and variants of R-weak commutativity, International Journal of Mathematical Archive-3(2), (2012), 550-555.
15. Singh S.L and Pant B.D: Common fixed points of weakly commuting mappings on non-Archimedean Menger spaces, Vikram. Math. J, 6, (1986), 27-31.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared